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Gyrokinetic theory of fast wave transmission with arbitrary parallel wave number in a nonuniformly magnetized plasma

C. N. Lashmore-Davies and R. O. Dendy
AEA Fusion, Culham Laboratory (Euratom/UKAEA Fusion Association), Abingdon, Oxfordshire OX14 3DB, England
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The gyrokinetic theory of ion cyclotron resonance is extended to include propagation at arbitrary angles to a straight equilibrium magnetic field with a linear perpendicular gradient in strength. The case of the compressional Alfvén wave propagating in a D(3He) plasma is analyzed in detail. A self-consistent local dispersion relation is obtained using a single mode description; this approach enables three-dimensional effects to be included and permits efficient calculation of the transmission coefficient. The dependence of this quantity on the species density ratio, minority temperature, plasma density, magnetic field, and equilibrium scale length is obtained. A self-consistent treatment of the variation of the field polarization across the resonant region is included. Families of transmission curves are given as a function of the normalized parallel wave number for parameters relevant to JET [see, for example, J. Jacquinot et al., Plasma Phys. 30, 1467 (1988)]. Perpendicular absorption by the minority ions is also discussed, and shown to depend on a single parameter, the ratio of the ion thermal velocity to the Alfvén speed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The variation of an inhomogeneous equilibrium magnetic field across the Larmor orbit of each plasma particle must be included in any fully self-consistent treatment of cyclotron resonance. This requires information about particle gyrophase to be retained at a much later stage in the calculation than in the usual locally uniform approximation. A gyrokinetic approach is therefore required and, recently, the gyrokinetic theory generalized to arbitrary frequencies by Chen and Tsai1,2 has been applied to cyclotron resonance of a wave propagating perpendicular to a nonuniform equilibrium magnetic field.3-4 For the case of perpendicular propagation, it was first pointed out in Ref. 5 that gyrokinetic theory enables an additional absorption mechanism or resonance broadening effect to be identified.3-5 In this paper, we shall extend the previous analysis to the important case where $k \cdot B \neq 0$, where $k$ is the wave vector and $B$ is the equilibrium magnetic field. The inclusion of the parallel wave number $k_p$ in the present analysis requires two resonance broadening mechanisms to be included simultaneously, since the addition of $k_p$ introduces the familiar Doppler broadening. In order to illustrate the method, we shall concentrate on the specific example of the fast wave propagating in the vicinity of the fundamental minority resonance of a plasma containing two ion species. We assume that the majority species is nonresonant, and in the numerical examples, we consider only the case where the nonresonant species is deuterium and the resonant species helium-3.

Let us consider some of the key parameters in our problem. The first is $p/L$, where $p$ is the Larmor radius and $L$ is the scale length of the inhomogeneous equilibrium magnetic field. For tokamak applications, $p/L$ is always much smaller than unity. The second parameter is $k \rho_p$, where $k$ is the perpendicular wave number. This parameter may in general be $O(1)$ or greater—it need not be small. A third parameter, specific to the case of a plasma with two ion species, is $n_{0b}/n_{0a}$, where $n_{0a}$ and $n_{0b}$ are the number densities of the majority and minority ion species, respectively. Again, $n_{0b}/n_{0a}$ is not necessarily small in a tokamak. There have been various approaches to the self-consistent description of cyclotron resonance,3,4,9,10 which differ in their treatment of these parameters. The gyrokinetic approach is specifically designed to include the effect of $k \rho_p$ to all orders, while using $p/L$ as an expansion parameter, and includes no restriction on $n_{0b}/n_{0a}$. Its formalism is also sufficiently general to include integral, full wave, or single-mode descriptions.

For the present application, we first derive the self-consistent local dispersion relation to $O(k \rho_p^4)$. This dispersion relation incorporates the particle response to the perturbed electromagnetic field in a nonuniform equilibrium magnetic field, by taking account of the variation of the equilibrium magnetic field across the Larmor orbits of ions at or near cyclotron resonance. Gyrokinetic theory is at present the only method of treating these problems which includes this effect, and is valid for arbitrary minority to majority density ratios. The self-consistent local dispersion relation contains all the information related to the wave interactions in the resonance region. It contains both the new broadening mechanism and the Doppler effect, as well as the various waves that exist in this frequency range. The self-consistent dispersion relation is the starting point for more accurate theories. For example, for $k \rho_p < 1$ it has been used to generate the full wave differential equations for the resonance region,11 and for $k \rho_p \geq 1$ it leads to integro-differential equations. The latter analysis will be presented at a later date.

Since the principal aim of this paper is to investigate the consequences of the inclusion of an additional resonance broadening term due to the inhomogeneity of B, we follow
the simplest approach: a single-mode theory where only the propagation of the fast wave is considered. This provides a rapid and accurate means of calculating the transmission coefficient, provided the minority to majority density ratio is below a critical value derived in Sec. III. For larger values of this ratio, the self-consistent local dispersion relation must be used to generate a full wave theory; this will be the subject of a future publication.

Other approaches to a theory of cyclotron resonance in a nonuniform equilibrium magnetic field include those of Imre et al., who use boundary-layer analysis to obtain full wave equations, and Gambier and Samain, who construct a variational principle that is similar in spirit to gyrokinetic theory but which is aimed specifically at a numerical solution. Romero and Morales obtain a solution to the wave propagation problem in a nonuniform field by means of an expansion in the small parameters (\(\rho/L\)), and \(k\rho\). Both the thermal corrections, which depend on \(k\rho\), and the corrections resulting from nonuniformity are contained in this expansion up to \(O(k^2\rho^2)\) and \(O(\rho^2/L^2)\). Recall, however, that gyrokinetic theory is specifically designed to include the effects of \(k\rho\) to all orders. Ye and Kaufman have also analyzed fast wave heating in the ion cyclotron range of frequencies. They have developed a novel analytic technique for solving the full wave equations, which has been applied to second-harmonic resonance and more recently to minority heating. In Ye and Kaufman's approach, the fast wave is coupled to the resonance by an expansion procedure in which either \(k^2\rho^2\) or \(n_{\text{th}}/n_{\text{maj}}\) is a small expansion parameter, where \(\rho\) is the ion Larmor radius.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the generalization of our gyrokinetic formalism to include arbitrary values of \(k\eta\). In Sec. III, we obtain the self-consistent local dispersion relation, valid for any minority to majority density ratio and for any \(k\eta\); a perturbation solution is given. We present numerical solutions to the general dispersion relation and further numerical results on the perpendicular absorption mechanism in Sec. IV, and the conclusions are given in Sec. V.

II. GYROKINETIC THEORY OF CYCLOTRON RESONANCE FOR ARBITRARY \(k\eta\)

We shall begin from the gyrokinetic equation given in Ref. 4 [Eq. (28)]. This is

\[
\langle L_q \rangle, \langle \delta H_q \rangle = \frac{iq}{m} \left( \omega \frac{\partial F_\omega}{\partial \epsilon} + \frac{\Omega}{B} \frac{\partial F_\omega}{\partial \mu} \right) \langle \delta \psi_q \rangle; \quad (1)
\]

where the operator \(\langle L_q \rangle\) is given by

\[
\langle L_q \rangle = (\delta H_L + v_d) \nabla - i(\omega - \Omega + \omega_q), \quad (2)
\]

and \(\langle \delta \psi_q \rangle\) are related to the Fourier transforms in the gyrophase angle of the perturbed electromagnetic field and the perturbed distribution function. For further details and definitions of notation the reader is referred to Ref. 4.

We shall again consider the following simple model of the nonuniform equilibrium magnetic field:

\[
B = e_z B(1 + x/L_B), \quad (3)
\]

where in the simple slab model \(x\) is interpreted as the toroidal direction, \(x\) as the radial direction, and \(y\) as the poloidal direction. The scale length \(L_B\) corresponds to the tokamak major radius. In order to obtain a self-consistent local description, we assume all perturbations have a single-mode character given by

\[
\delta f(x) = \delta f_{k_x} \exp(i k_x x), \quad (4)
\]

where

\[
k = k_x (e_x \cos \xi + e_y \sin \xi) + k_x e_z. \quad (5)
\]

Combining Eqs. (1), (2), (4), and (5), we obtain the solution of the perturbed gyrokinetic equation.

\[
\langle \delta H_q \rangle = \frac{(q/m) [\omega (\partial F_\omega / \partial \epsilon) + (\Omega/B) (\partial F_\omega / \partial \mu)] \langle \delta \psi_q \rangle}{[k_x v_x + k_y v_y - \omega + i \Omega(x)]}, \quad (6)
\]

Making use of Eq. (4), the quantity \(\langle \delta \psi_q \rangle\) is obtained as described in Refs. 1-4. The result is

\[
\langle \delta \psi_q \rangle = e^{i \omega x} e^{i \mu y} \left[ \frac{J_1 (k_x v_x)}{\Omega} \right] \left( \frac{\delta \phi_k}{k_x^2} + \frac{i \Omega}{k_x^2} k_x \frac{\delta A_{l_k}}{c} \right) \left( \frac{v_y}{c} \delta A_{l_k} \right) - \left( \frac{v_x}{k_x c} \right) J_1 \left( \frac{k_x v_x}{\Omega} \right) \delta B_{l_k} \right] \quad (7)
\]

where \(X\) denotes the guiding center position, and

\[
\delta B_{l_k} = i (k_x \delta A_{l_k} - k_y \delta A_{l_k}). \quad (8)
\]

Equations (6) and (7) are the generalization of the results given in Ref. 4 to the case \(k_x \neq 0\).

In order to calculate the perturbed currents to substitute into Maxwell's equations, we relate \(\langle \delta H_q \rangle\) to the total perturbed distribution function in guiding center coordinates and then transform the result into particle coordinates. Following the procedure given in Ref. 4, the perturbed distribution function \(\delta f_{k_x}\) expressed as a function of particle coordinates is
where
\[ \langle \delta \psi \rangle_{ik} = e^{ik_{i}v_{i}/\Omega} \sin(\alpha - \phi) \rho \theta^{k} \]
\[ \times \left[ J_{i} \left( k_{i}v_{i}/\Omega \right) \left( \delta \Phi_{k} + \frac{\Omega}{k_{i}^{2}} k_{z} \frac{\Delta A_{ik}}{c} \right) \right. \]
\[ - \frac{v_{i}}{c} \delta A_{ik} \left. - \frac{v_{i}}{k_{i}c} J_{i} \left( k_{i}v_{i}/\Omega \right) \partial B_{ik} \right] . \]
\( \langle \delta \psi \rangle_{ik} \)

As in Ref. 4, we find it convenient to transform Eqs. (9) and (10) to Cartesian velocity coordinates. Introducing a dimensionless velocity
\[ V = v/v_{T} = (V_{1} \cos \alpha, V_{z}) \sin \alpha, V_{z} \] where
\[ V_{1} = v_{1}/v_{T} \] and \( V_{z} = v_{z}/v_{T} \), and restricting the analysis to the case of a Maxwellian velocity distribution,
\[ F_{0} = \frac{n_{0}}{\nu^{3/2} v_{T}^{3}} \exp \left( \frac{-v^{2}}{v_{T}^{2}} \right) \left( \frac{n_{0}}{\nu^{3/2} v_{T}^{3}} \right) \exp \left( \frac{-2\nu^{2}}{v_{T}^{2}} \right) , \]
\( F_{0} \)

the perturbed distribution function, Eq. (9) becomes
\[ \delta f_{k} = - \frac{2n_{0} q}{mv_{T}^{2} \nu^{3/2} v_{T}^{3}} \nu_{0} v_{i} - \nu_{0} v_{i} - \nu_{0}^{2} \left[ \delta f_{k} - \langle \delta \psi \rangle_{ik} \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{\nu \neq 0} \omega e^{-i\omega \langle \delta \psi \rangle_{ik}} \left[ k_{i}v_{i} \frac{\Delta A_{ik}}{v_{T}^{2}} + \frac{1}{k_{z}c} \frac{\Delta A_{ik}}{v_{T}^{2}} \right] \]
\[ - \left( \frac{\nu - i\Omega(x)}{k_{z}v_{T}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{k_{z}c} \frac{\Delta A_{ik}}{v_{T}^{2}} \right) \right] . \]
\( \delta f_{k} \)

Similarly, Eq. (10) becomes
\[ \langle \delta \psi \rangle_{ik} = e^{i\nu \omega c / \omega} - e^{-i\nu \omega c / \omega} \left[ J_{i} \left( k_{i}v_{i}/\Omega \right) \right. \]
\[ \times \left( \delta \Phi_{k} + \frac{\Omega}{k_{i}^{2}} k_{z} \frac{\Delta A_{ik}}{c} - \frac{v_{i}}{c} \delta A_{ik} \right) \]
\[ - \frac{v_{i}}{k_{i}c} J_{i} \left( k_{i}v_{i}/\Omega \right) \partial B_{ik} \right] . \]
\( \langle \delta \psi \rangle_{ik} \)

We may now use Eq. (12) to calculate the perturbed current density. In order to carry out the required velocity integrations we must deal with the resonant denominator.

\[ \Omega = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\omega^{2}}{k_{z}^{2}}} \]
\( \Omega \)

This contains three resonance broadening terms. We have previously noted\textsuperscript{4,3} that we may neglect the term \( k_{z}v_{T} \) due to the equilibrium drift in the magnetic field gradient provided \( k_{z}v_{T} \leq 1 \). Since we shall again make this assumption, we reduce the resonance broadening terms to two. However, both these terms depend linearly on a velocity coordinate, so that we may rotate to new velocity coordinates such that the resonance depends only on a single velocity variable.

Defining
\[ W_{x} = \tau (V_{x} - v_{T}^{2} / V_{T}^{2}) \]
\[ W_{y} = \tau (v_{T}^{2} / V_{T}^{2} + V_{z}) \]
\( W_{x} \)
\( W_{y} \)

where
\[ \nu \rightarrow \nu_{i} / k_{z} L_{u} \]
\( \nu \rightarrow \nu_{i} / k_{z} L_{u} \)

and

\[ \tau = (1 + v_{T}^{2})^{-1/2} \]
\( \tau \)

we obtain
\[ dV_{x} dV_{y} = dW_{x} dW_{y} \]
\( dV_{x} dV_{y} = dW_{x} dW_{y} \)

We may now calculate the perturbed current
\[ \delta J_{x} = q\theta^{k} \int d\Omega_{x} dV_{x} dV_{y} dV_{z} \]
\( \delta J_{x} \)

Since the parallel electric field \( \delta E_{x0} \sim O(m_{e}/m_{i}) \) for the compressional Alfvén wave in the ion cyclotron range of frequencies,\textsuperscript{12} we need only calculate \( \delta J_{x0} \) and \( \delta J_{k0} \). In order to calculate the resonant current, we make use of the result
\[ e^{-i(k_{0}a - \nu)} = \left[ (k_{x} \pm i\nu_{B}) (V_{x} \mp i\nu_{B}) / k_{x} v_{T} \right]^{l} \]
\( e^{-i(k_{0}a - \nu)} \)

where the upper sign refers to \( l > 0 \) and the lower sign to \( l < 0 \). Substituting Eqs. (12), (13), and (19) into Eq. (18), using Eqs. (14), (15), and expanding the Bessel functions for small argument, the \( x \) - and \( y \) -components of the current density for the fundamental resonance, \( l = 1 \), are as follows:

\[ \delta J_{x0} = \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{8\pi} \frac{v_{T}}{L_{0}} \frac{k_{z}}{k_{x}} \frac{\nu}{v_{T}} \theta^{k} \times \left[ \left( ik_{x} a_{0} - \frac{iv_{T} a_{0}}{2} \right) - a_{x} \right] Z(\eta_{10}) + \left( a_{x} + ik_{x} a_{x} - k_{x} a_{x} \right) \left[ 1 + \eta_{10} Z(\eta_{10}) \right] \right] . \]
\( \delta J_{x0} \)

\[ \delta J_{k0} = \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{8\pi} \frac{v_{T}}{L_{0}} \frac{k_{z}}{k_{x}} \frac{\nu}{v_{T}} \theta^{k} \times \left[ iv^{2} a_{0} - \frac{iv_{T} a_{0}}{2} + a_{x} \right] Z(\eta_{10}) + \left[ 2v_{T} a_{0} + ik_{x} a_{x} \right] \]
\[ + i v^{2} (1 - 2v_{T}^{2}) a_{x} + \nu \tau (2a_{x} - k_{x} a_{x}) \eta_{10} + 2i v^{2} a_{x} \eta_{10} \right] \left[ 1 + \eta_{10} Z(\eta_{10}) \right] . \]
\( \delta J_{k0} \)

Here the subscript \( R \) represents a contribution from the resonant ions denoted by a subscript \( b \), and the quantity \( \eta_{10} \) is given by
\[ \eta_{10} = \tau (\omega - \Omega_{x} / k_{x} v_{T} - ik_{x} a_{x}) \eta_{10} \]
\( \eta_{10} \)

The quantities \( a_{0} \), \( a_{x} \), \( a_{y} \), \( a_{z} \), and \( a_{q} \) that occur in Eqs. (20) and (21) are given by
\[ a_{0} = \frac{k_{x} a_{x}}{2} \left[ \left( \delta \Phi_{k} + \frac{\Omega}{k_{x}^{2}} k_{z} \frac{\Delta A_{ik}}{c} - \frac{\Omega}{k_{x}^{2}} \frac{\Delta B_{ik}}{c} \right) \right] , \]
\( a_{0} \)
\[ a_{x} = \frac{k_{x} a_{x}}{k_{x}} \left[ \left( \delta \Phi_{k} + \frac{\Omega}{k_{x}^{2}} k_{z} \frac{\Delta A_{ik}}{c} - \frac{\Omega}{k_{x}^{2}} \frac{\Delta B_{ik}}{c} \right) \right] , \]
\( a_{x} \)
\[ a_s = \left( \frac{\nu k_s}{\nu \tau_s} \right) / 2 \delta A_{1k} - i \nu a_s, \]  
(25)

\[ a_s = - \left( \frac{k_s}{\nu \tau_s} \right) \delta A_{1k} + i \nu a_s, \]  
(26)

\[ a_s = \left( \nu \tau_s / c \right) \left( \frac{k_s + ik_p}{k_s} \right) \delta A_{1k}. \]  
(27)

In the limit \( k_s \to 0 \), Eqs. (20) and (21) reduce to the expressions for the \( l = 1 \), resonant perpendicular currents given by Eqs. (60) and (61) in Ref. 4.

We shall now write the resonant currents in terms of the perturbed electric field. This will enable us to obtain the electric field polarizations \( \delta E_{sk} \) and \( \delta E_{sk} \), which are relevant to absorption; it also facilitates comparison with other theories which use the dielectric tensor. Since we shall neglect \( \delta E_{sk} \), we need only consider the following combination of potentials:

\[ \delta \phi_0 + \Omega / k_1^2 \left( k_s \delta A_{1k} / c \right) - \Omega / k_1^2 \left( \delta B_{1k} / c \right), \]

where we have now put \( l = 1 \). Using Eq. (8) and the Coulomb gauge, and putting \( \omega = \Omega \), we obtain

\[ \delta \phi_0 + \frac{\Omega}{k_1^2} \delta A_{1k} / c - \frac{\Omega}{k_1^2} \delta B_{1k} / c = i \frac{k_s - ik_p}{k_1^2} \delta E_{yk}. \]  
(28)

We can now write Eqs. (20) and (21) in terms of \( \delta E_{sk} \) and \( \delta E_{sk} \), neglecting the term proportional to \( \delta E_{sk} \), giving

\[ \left( \delta J_{sk} \right)_k = - \frac{i \tau}{k_s v_{T_k}} \frac{\omega_s^2}{8 \pi} e^{-\frac{k_s \rho_b}{2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{k_s \rho_b}{2} \right) Z(\eta_{1b}) - \nu \tau k_s \rho_b \right] \]  
(29)

\[ \left( \delta J_{sk} \right)_k = - \frac{\tau^2}{k_s v_{T_k}} \frac{\omega_s^2}{8 \pi} e^{-\frac{k_s \rho_b}{2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{k_s \rho_b}{2} \right) Z(\eta_{1b}) \]  
(30)

Next, we use Eqs. (29) and (30) to obtain the resonant components of the conductivity tensor \( \sigma_{eq}^R \), where \( i \) and \( j \) represent either \( x \) or \( y \):

\[ \sigma_{eq}^R = i Q K_1, \]  
(31)

\[ \sigma_{eq}^R = - Q K_1, \]  
(32)

\[ \sigma_{eq}^R = \tau^2 Q K_2, \]  
(33)

\[ \sigma_{eq}^R = i \tau^2 Q K_2, \]  
(34)

where

\[ Q = - \left( \tau / k_s v_{T_k} \right) \frac{\omega_s^2}{8 \pi} e^{-\frac{k_s \rho_b}{2}}. \]  
(35)

\[ K_1 = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\nu k_s}{\nu \tau_s} \right) / 2 \right) \delta A_{1k} - i \nu a_s, \]  
(36)

\[ K_2 = \left[ 1 - \frac{k_s \rho_b}{2} \right) \left( \frac{k_s \rho_b - ik_p \rho_b}{\tau} \right) Z(\eta_{1b}) \]  
(37)

We now write

\[ \sigma = \sigma_{eq}^R + \sigma_{NR}, \]  
(38)

where \( \sigma_{NR} \) is given by Eqs. (31)–(34) and the nonresonant conductivity \( \sigma_{NR} \) is due to the majority ions, denoted by a subscript \( a \), the nonresonant contribution of the minority ions, and the electrons. In order to obtain expressions for the elements of \( \sigma_{NR} \), it is sufficient to use the conductivity tensor for a uniform plasma, since we only aim to describe the change of the fast wave in the vicinity of the minority resonance. With the aid of Krall and Trivelpiece,13 we may therefore write

\[ \sigma_{eq}^R = \frac{i}{4 \pi} \omega_s^2 \left( \frac{r_1}{(r_1^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right), \]  
(39)

\[ \sigma_{eq}^R = \frac{1}{4 \pi} \omega_s^2 \left( \frac{r_2}{(r_2^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right), \]  
(40)

\[ \sigma_{eq}^R = \frac{1}{4 \pi} \omega_s^2 \left( \frac{r_1}{(r_1^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right), \]  
(41)

\[ \sigma_{eq}^R = \frac{1}{4 \pi} \omega_s^2 \left( \frac{r_2}{(r_2^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right), \]  
(42)

where \( r_1 = \Omega_x / \omega \) and \( r_2 = \Omega_y / \omega \). We have now assembled all the information required in order to obtain the self-consistent local dispersion relation that will be derived in the next section.

III. THE SELF-CONSISTENT LOCAL DISPERSION RELATION

In order to obtain the local dispersion relation, we combine Maxwell’s two curl equations giving

\[ \left( k_z^2 - \omega^2 / c^2 \right) I - k_k - (4 \pi \omega / c^2) \sigma \delta E_{sk} = 0. \]  
(43)

Since we neglect \( \delta E_{sk} \), we may write Eq. (43) as a pair of equations:

\[ \left( k_z^2 + k_z^2 - \omega^2 / c^2 \right) \sigma_{xx} \delta E_{sk} = 0, \]  
(44)

\[ \left( k_z k_y + 4 \pi \omega / c^2 \sigma_{xy} \right) \delta E_{sk} = 0, \]  
(45)

Using Eqs. (31)–(34) and Eq. (38), and taking the determinant of Eqs. (44) and (45), we obtain the dispersion relation.
Substituting Eqs. (39)–(42) into Eq. (46), the dispersion relation becomes

\[
\left( k_z^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) + \left( k_z^2 + k_y^2 \right) \left( k_z^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) - \frac{4\pi i \omega}{c^2} (\sigma_{xx})_{NR} \left[ 2 \left( k_z^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) + k_z^2 + k_y^2 \right] \\
- \frac{4\pi i \omega}{c^2} \left[ (\sigma_{xx})_{NR}^2 + (\sigma_{xy})_{NR}^2 \right] - \frac{4\pi i \omega}{c^2} \left[ iQK_1 \left( k_z^2 + k_y^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) + i\tau^2 K_2 - K_1 \right] \\
+ i\tau^2 QK_1 \left( k_z^2 + k_z^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) + k_x k_y Q (\tau^2 K_2 - K_1) \right] - \frac{4\pi i \omega}{c^2} \left( Q (\tau^2 K_2 + K_1) \right) \left[ i(\sigma_{xx})_{NR} - (\sigma_{xy})_{NR} \right] = 0. \tag{46}
\]

Substituting Eqs. (39)–(42) into Eq. (46), the dispersion relation becomes

\[
\frac{\omega_y^2}{c^2} = \frac{r_1}{r_2} \left[ 1 - \frac{(r_1^2 - 1)}{4} \right] + \frac{\Omega_a^2}{\omega_k^2} \frac{c^2}{\omega_a} \frac{(r_1^2 - 1)}{r_1} \left( k_z^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) \]

\[
+ \frac{\Omega_a^2}{\omega_k^2} \frac{c^2}{\omega_a} \frac{(r_1^2 - 1)}{r_1} \left( k_z^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) + 4\pi \frac{\omega}{c^2} Q \left( k_x + i k_y \right) \left( k_x K_1 - i k_y \tau^2 K_2 \right) \\
+ \frac{\Omega_a^2}{\omega_k^2} \frac{c^2}{\omega_a} \frac{(r_1^2 - 1)}{r_1} \left( k_z^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) + 4\pi \frac{\omega}{c^2} \left( (k_x + i k_y) \left( k_x K_1 - i k_y \tau^2 K_2 \right) \right) \\
+ \frac{\Omega_a^2}{\omega_k^2} \frac{c^2}{\omega_a} \frac{(r_1^2 - 1)}{r_1} \left( k_z^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) + \frac{\omega}{c^2} \left( k_z^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) = 0. \tag{47}
\]

This is the self-consistent local dispersion relation in three dimensions for the fast wave in the vicinity of the fundamental resonance of one of the ion species in a two-ion-species plasma, where the wave propagates at an arbitrary angle to the magnetic field. The equation must be solved for \( k_z \), since \( x \) is the direction of nonuniformity. Putting \( k_z = 0 \), Eq. (47) reduces to the dispersion relation previously derived.4

Before discussing the general solution of Eq. (47), we shall obtain a perturbation solution. For this we will allow \( k_z \) to remain arbitrary but assume small minority to majority density ratios. The perturbation parameter will therefore be \( r_2 \); thus, we neglect \( r_2 \) in the nonresonant terms. Substituting Eq. (35) for \( Q \) into Eq. (47) we obtain, after a little algebra,

\[
N_0^2 \left[ 1 + (r_1^2 - 1) \left( N_y^2 - \frac{\omega_k^2}{c^2} \right) \right] - 1 + 2 \left( N_y^2 - \frac{\omega_k^2}{c^2} \right) + (r_1^2 - 1) \left( N_y^2 - \frac{\omega_k^2}{c^2} \right)^2 \\
= \frac{r_2 (r_1^2 - 1)}{2 (k_z L_B^2 + 1)^{1/2} v_{TB}} \left[ \left( N_x + i N_y \right) \left( N_x K_1 - i N_y \tau^2 K_2 \right) \right] - \left( \frac{1}{r_1 + 1} + \frac{\omega_k^2}{c^2} - N_y^2 \right) (K_1 + \tau^2 K_2), \tag{48}
\]

where \( N = c_k \omega / \omega \) and \( N_y^2 = N_y^2 + N_z^2 \). We now specialize a little further by setting \( N_y = 0 \) in the interests of simplicity. Substituting for \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) from Eqs. (36) and (37), and neglecting \( c_{y2}^2 / c^2 \) and terms proportional to \( \nu \omega / \omega_k \), Eq. (48) can be written

\[
N_0^2 = \frac{1 - 2 N_y^2 - (r_1^2 - 1) N_y^2}{1 + N_y^2 (r_1^2 - 1)} = \frac{r_2 (r_1^2 - 1)}{2 (k_z^2 L_B^2 + 1)^{1/2} v_{TB}} \left[ 1 + N_y^2 (r_1^2 - 1) \right] \\
\times \left( \left( r_1 + 1 \right) N_y^2 - 1 \right) \left( 1 + \tau^2 \right) Z(\eta_{ib}) \\
- \left[ 1 - N_y^2 (r_1 + 1) \right] 2 v \tau (\nu \tau \eta_{ib} + i k_x \rho_b) \left[ 1 + \eta_{ib} Z(\eta_{ib}) \right]. \tag{49}
\]

We now solve Eq. (49) perturbatively, assuming

\[
N_i = N_{io} + \delta N_i, \tag{50}
\]

where

\[
N_{io} = \frac{1 - 2 N_y^2 - (r_1^2 - 1) N_y^2}{1 + N_y^2 (r_1^2 - 1)}. \tag{51}
\]

Substituting Eq. (50) into Eq. (49) we obtain

\[
2 N_{io} \delta N_i = \frac{r_2 (r_1 + 1)}{2 (k_z^2 L_B^2 + 1)^{1/2} v_{TB}} \left[ 1 + N_y^2 (r_1^2 - 1) \right] \left( \left( r_1 + 1 \right) N_y^2 + (1 + \tau^2) N_y^2 \right) \\
- 2 v \tau \left[ 1 - \left( r_1 + 1 \right) N_y^2 \right] \left( \nu \tau \eta_{ib} + i k_x \rho_b \right) \left[ 1 + \eta_{ib} Z(\eta_{ib}) \right]. \tag{52}
\]

We now define

\[
p_1 = 1 / \left( k_z^2 L_B^2 + 1 \right)^{1/2}, \tag{53}
\]

\[
\zeta_{ib} = (L_B / v_{TB}) \left[ \omega - \Omega_a (x) \right]. \tag{54}
\]

Substituting Eqs. (53) and (54) into Eq. (22) and using Eqs. (16) and (17) we obtain

\[
\eta_{ib} = p_1 \left[ \zeta_{ib} - i (k_x \rho_b / 2) \right]. \tag{55}
\]

We now use the relation
\[ Z'(x) = -2[1 + xZ(x)], \quad (56) \]

and assuming \( k_x \rho_p \ll \xi_{1b} \), we obtain

\[ Z(\eta_{1b}) = Z(p_1, \xi_{1b}) + ik_x \rho_p p_1 \left[ 1 + p_1 \xi_{1b} Z(p_1, \xi_{1b}) \right]. \quad (57) \]

Similarly,

\[ 1 + \eta_{1b} Z(\eta_{1b}) \sim (1 + ik_x \rho_p p_1) \left[ 1 + p_1 \xi_{1b} Z(p_1, \xi_{1b}) \right] - i(k_x \rho_p/2) p_1 Z(p_1, \xi_{1b}). \quad (58) \]

Substituting Eqs. (57) and (58) into Eq. (52), we obtain, after further algebra

\[ 2N_{10} \text{Im} \delta_N_i = \frac{p_1 r_2 (r_1 - 1) \Omega_n L_B}{2 \nu_B} \left[ 1 + N_{30}^2 (r_1 - 1) \right] \left[ (r_1 + 1) \left[ N_{30}^2 + (1 + \tau^2) N_z^2 \right] - 1 - \tau^2 - 2p_1 \left[ 1 - (r_1 + 1) N_z^2 \right] \right] \times \left[ p_1 \xi_{1b}^2 \left[ 1 + \eta_{1b} \right] \left[ 1 + (r_1 + 1) N_{30}^2 \right] - 1 - \tau^2 - 2p_1 \left[ 1 - (r_1 + 1) N_z^2 \right] \right] \times \left[ p_1 \left[ (r_1 + 1) \left[ N_{30}^2 + (1 + \tau^2) N_z^2 \right] - 1 - \tau^2 - 2p_1 \left[ 1 - (r_1 + 1) N_z^2 \right] \right] \right]. \quad (59) \]

We have previously shown\(^3\)\(^4\) that only the part of \( \text{Im} \delta_N_i \) which is proportional to \( Z \), contributes to the optical depth, since only these terms involve the resonant particles. The remaining terms arise from the kinetic power flow and do not produce any net effect on the total absorption, although they can influence the absorption profile. Recalling that the optical depth \( \tau_b \) is given by

\[ \tau_b = 2 \int_0^\infty \text{Im} \, k_\perp (x) \, dx, \quad (60) \]

using Eqs. (3) and (54) and integrating only the terms in Eq. (59) proportional to \( Z \), we obtain

\[ \tau_b = \frac{\pi \Omega_n L_B}{2 c_A} \frac{r_2 (r_1 - 1)}{N_{10} \left[ 1 + N_{30}^2 (r_1 - 1) \right]} \times \left[ (r_1 + 1) \left[ N_{30}^2 (1 + \tau^2 + p_1^2) + N_{10}^2 \right] - (1 + \tau^2 + p_1^2) \right], \quad (61) \]

here we have neglected a term proportional to \( N_{30}^2 \nu_B^2 / c_A^2 \approx k_x^2 \rho_p^2 \ll 1 \). Let us define the quantity

\[ \tau_{b_l} = \frac{\pi \Omega_n L_B}{2 c_A} \frac{r_2}{r_1} (r_1 - 1)^2. \quad (62) \]

Substituting Eqs. (16), (17), (51), and (53) into Eq. (61) and making use of Eq. (62), the optical depth for oblique propagation can be written

\[
N_{30}^2 [1 - (r_1^2 - 1) (r_1 - 1) \left( \frac{r_2}{4r_1} - \frac{N_{30}^2 + \frac{c_A^2}{c^2}}{c^2} \right)]
- \left\{ 1 + \frac{r_2^2}{2r_1^2} \left[ 1 + 5r_1 + \frac{3r_1^3}{r_1} (r_1^2 - 1) \right] - 2 \left( N_{30}^2 - \frac{c_A^2}{c^2} \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{(r_1^2 - 1)}{2} \left( \frac{r_2}{2r_1 - N_{30}^2 + \frac{c_A^2}{c^2}} \right) \right] \right\}
= \frac{r_2}{2r_1} (r_1^2 - 1) \frac{L_B}{\rho_n} \frac{1}{(k_x L_B + 1)^{1/2}} \left( Z(\eta_{1b}) \right) \left[ (N_x + iN_y) (N_x - i\tau^2 N_y) - 1 + \tau^2 - (\nu_B^2 / 2c_A^2) (N_x^2 + N_y^2) \right] (r_1 + 1)
\times \left[ 1 + (r_1 + 1) \left( \frac{c_A^2}{c^2} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{r_2}{r_1} - N_{30}^2 \right) \right] + \nu \tau \left[ 1 + \eta_{1b} \right] Z(\eta_{1b}) \left[ \left( N_x \frac{\nu_B}{c_A} (N_x - iN_y) - 2i\nu \tau N_y \eta_{1b} \right) (N_x + iN_y) \right]
- \frac{2}{(r_1 + 1)} \left[ 1 + (r_1 + 1) \left( \frac{c_A^2}{c^2} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{r_2}{r_1} - N_{30}^2 \right) \right] i \left( \frac{\nu_B}{c_A} N_x + \nu \tau \eta_{1b} \right) \right].
\]

For \( N_x = 0 \), Eq. (63) reduces to our previous\(^3\)\(^4\) result for perpendicular propagation. We may compare Eq. (63) with Francis et al.\(^1\)\(^4\) who considered a D(H) plasma. In this case \( r_1 = 2 \) and Eq. (63) reduces to

\[ \tau_b [D(H)] = \frac{\pi \nu_B}{c} \frac{L_B}{\rho_n} \frac{N_{10}^3}{N_{30} (1 + N_{30}^2)}, \quad (64) \]

which is in agreement with the result given by Francis et al.\(^1\)\(^4\) in the limit \( n_e / n_p \gg \nu_B^2 / c_A^2 \). This corresponds to the occurrence of a singularity in the wave equation. In contrast, the gyrokinetic theory yields a profile of finite width at the minority resonance, even when \( N_x = 0 \).\(^1\)\(^4\)

The perturbation result derived above is valid provided

\[ r_2 < 4 [1/(r_1 - 1)] (k_x L_B + 1)^{1/2} (\rho_0 / L_B). \quad (65) \]

It can be seen that the perturbation analysis will be valid for larger minority to majority ratios when \( k_x L_B \gg 1 \). The condition for the validity of the perturbation analysis assumes that the fast wave is not near the fast wave cutoff. If this is not so, the condition is more stringent than Eq. (65).

We now return to the general dispersion relation given in Eq. (47). Substituting for \( Q, K_1, \) and \( K_2 \) from Eqs. (35)–(37), we write the dispersion relation in terms of \( N \):
Using Eqs. (16), (17), and (22) we may write the quantity $\gamma_{1b}$ in the form

$$\gamma_{1b} = -\left[\frac{x/\rho_b + (iN_x/2)(\omega \tau_{tb}/\rho_b)}{1 + k^2L_b^2} \right].$$

The dispersion relation, Eq. (66) has been solved numerically for $N_x$ as a function of the normalized distance $x/\rho_b$. The equation is valid for any minority to majority density ratio and any value of $k_x$. The dispersion relation describes three-dimensional propagation since $N_x$ is included in addition to $N_y$ and $N_z$. The plasma conditions are specified through the parameters $r_1, r_2, v_{tb}/c_A, c_A/c_e$, and $L_b/\rho_b$. We solve the dispersion relation as a function of $x/\rho_b$ through the resonance region from large negative values of $x/\rho_b$ to large positive values. The optical depth is then calculated by carrying out the numerical integration

$$\tau_x = 2\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \text{Im} N_x \, dx,$$

where the upper and lower limits of integration $\pm \delta$ are determined by observing the positions on either side of the resonance where $\text{Im} N_x$ becomes negligible. This numerical procedure allows us to check the accuracy of the expansion used in Eqs. (57) and (58).

Our procedure for obtaining the optical depth is based on the self-consistent local dispersion relation. It is only expected to be reliable when either the minority dissipation is strong enough to remove the hybrid resonance and its associated cutoff or when the minority damping region is well separated from the hybrid resonance. When this is not the case a full wave theory is required. The extension of the present gyrokinetic analysis to the full wave case is left for a future publication. We shall give examples of the change observed in the solutions as the hybrid cutoff appears or is approached.

Let us now obtain an approximate condition for the occurrence of the hybrid resonance. Since we shall only present numerical solutions of Eq. (66) for the case $N_y = 0$, we will restrict the analysis to this case. There is no sharp transition from conditions when the hybrid resonance is present to those when it is not. However, a critical condition can be identified by the vanishing of the real part of the coefficient of $N_x^2$ in Eq. (66) with the constraint that $\text{Re} Z(\eta_{1b})$ takes its maximum value. For $N_y = 0$ this condition can be written

$$1 + (r_1^2 - 1)N_z^2 - \frac{r_2}{2r_1} (r_1^2 - 1) \frac{L_b}{\rho_b} \frac{1}{(k_L^2L_b^2 + 1)^{1/2}} \times \text{Re} Z(\eta_{1b}) = 0.$$

For our purposes, we may approximate the maximum value of $\text{Re} Z$ by unity and hence obtain a critical value of $r_2^2 = \frac{n_{0b}Z_b}{n_{0a}Z_a}$ from Eq. (69),

$$r_2 = \left[2r_1/(r_1^2 - 1)\right] \left(\frac{L_b}{\rho_b}\right) \left(\frac{k_L^2L_b^2 + 1}{2r_1} \right) \times \left[1 + (r_1^2 - 1)N_x^2\right].$$

For values of $r_2$ significantly less than $(r_2)_{\text{crit}}$ the hybrid resonance will not occur, whereas for $r_2$ significantly larger, the resonance and its associated cutoff will be present.

Since the solution of Eq. (66) gives the variation through the resonance region, it is useful to employ this information to obtain the change in the electric field polarization. We therefore return to Eqs. (44) and (45) to obtain

$$\delta E_x + i\delta E_y = \left\{k_y^2(k_x^2 + ik_y^2) + i\left[k_x^2 - (\omega^2/c^2)\right] + 4\pi(\omega/c^2)(\pm \sigma_{xx} + i\sigma_{xy})\right\} \frac{\delta F_y}{\delta E_y}.$$

Substituting Eqs. (31), (32), and (38)-(40) into Eq. (71), we obtain

$$\frac{\delta E_x + i\delta E_y}{\delta E_y} = \left[\frac{k_y^2(k_x^2 + ik_y^2) + i\left[k_x^2 - (\omega^2/c^2)\right] - i\frac{\omega}{c^2} \frac{\omega_{pa}}{\Omega_a} \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1 \pm 1} + \frac{5}{4}\right)}{2} - \frac{r_y^2}{k_y v_{tb}} \frac{(1 \mp 1)}{2} \tau K_1\right]\times\left[\left(\frac{r_1}{r_1 \pm 1} + \frac{5}{4}\right) - \frac{r_y^2}{2k_y v_{tb}} \tau K_1\right]^{-1},$$

where we have put $\exp(-k^2\rho_b^2/4) = 1$ in $K_1$ since $k^2\rho_b^2 < 1$. For $k_y = 0$, and using Eq. (36), we obtain

$$\frac{\delta E_x + i\delta E_y}{\delta E_y} = i\left\{\pm\left(k_x^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\right) - i\frac{\omega}{c^2} \frac{\omega_{pa}}{\Omega_a} \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1 \pm 1} + \frac{5}{4}\right)\right\} \times\left[\frac{k_x^2}{c^2} + \frac{\omega}{c^2} \frac{\omega_{pa}}{\Omega_a} \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1 \pm 1} + \frac{5}{4}\right) - \frac{r_y^2}{2k_y v_{tb}} \tau Z(\eta_{1b})\right]^{-1}.$$

IV. NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF THE LOCAL DISPERSION RELATION

In this section we present results obtained from a numerical solution of Eq. (66). These solutions enable us to treat any minority to majority density ratio, and to span the small region around $x = 0$ where the expansions used in Eqs. (57) and (58) break down. Our aim in these computations is to provide a simple and therefore fast method for calculating the transmitted power in a realistic magnetic field. Since the gyrokinetic model gives the self-consistent particle response, the field variation through the resonance region is also obtained. However, the calculations are only expected to be accurate under conditions where reflection is negligible. Reflection will occur when the two-ion hybrid resonance exists.
in the plasma. Thus, the condition given in Eq. (70) provides a marker for the applicability of our method. When reflection is significant the single-mode analysis used in this paper should be replaced by a full wave treatment based on the self-consistent local dispersion relation.

We illustrate this point in Figs. 1 and 2. Figure 1 shows a case where $r_2$ is less than the critical value. It can be seen that the real part of $k_x$ varies by about 10% across the resonance region, but there is no cutoff. Figure 2 refers to a case where $r_2$ exceeds the critical value given in Eq. (70), the two-ion hybrid cutoff is present, and is well separated from the minority resonance region. In what follows, we shall only present results where the wave propagation has the character illustrated in Fig. 1, which occurs when the minority to majority density ratio is less than the critical value given in Eq. (70). Whenever the cutoff appears, we terminate the calculation.

We choose parameters typical of JET discharges. Figures 3 and 4 show the variation of the fast wave transmission coefficient as a function of the normalized parallel wave number $k_x L_B$, where $L_B$ is equated with the major radius of JET which we have taken as 3 m. The magnetic field has been taken as 3.4 T and the temperature of the minority species as 2 keV. We assume a deuterium majority with a helium-3 minority in all computations. Figure 3 refers to a minority to majority density ratio of 1% ($r_2 = 0.02$, recalling the definition after Eq. (42)) and Fig. 4 to a ratio of 5% ($r_2 = 0.1$). In both figures, three curves are presented. Curve (a) refers to a deuterium density of $10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, curve (b) to a deuterium density of $5 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$, and curve (c) to a deuterium density of $2.5 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$. As expected, the transmission decreases with the density. For 1% $^3$He, the transmission at the highest density tends to a minimum close to 70%; for 5% $^3$He, the lowest transmission is less than 20% at the highest density. The curves in Figs. 3 and 4 have all been terminated for the lower values of $k_x L_B$ due to the appearance of a cutoff. The lowest value of the transmission occurs for a value of $r_2$ close to the critical value given by Eq.

![FIG. 1. An example of the regime where the two-ion hybrid resonance and cutoff are absent. Real (upper) and imaginary (lower) parts of $k_x$ as a function of $x/\rho_B$ for a D($^3$He) plasma. Key dimensionless parameters are $r_2 = 10^{-2}$, $v_T/c_A = 0.1$, $k_L L_B = 20$, $L_y/\rho_B = 5 \times 10^3$, and $\sigma_A^2/c_A^4 = 3 \times 10^{-5}$.

![FIG. 2. An example of the regime where the minority ion cyclotron resonant damping region (at left) is well separated from the two-ion hybrid cutoff (at right). Real (upper) and imaginary (lower) parts of $k_x$ as a function of $x/\rho_B$ for a D($^3$He) plasma. Key dimensionless parameters are $r_2 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$, $v_T/c_A = 0.1$, $k_L L_B = 30$, $L_y/\rho_B = 10^3$, and $\sigma_A^2/c_A^4 = 3 \times 10^{-4}$.

![FIG. 3. The ratio of transmitted power to input power as a function of $k_x L_B$ for the regime illustrated in Fig. 1, where majority ion density $n_B = (a) 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, (b) $5 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$, (c) $2.5 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$. The plasma parameters are $n_B/n_0 = 0.05$, $T_e = 2$ keV, $L_B/\rho_B = 1822$, $B_0 = 3.4$ T, and $L_B = 3$ m.

![FIG. 4. The ratio of transmitted power to input power as a function of $k_x L_B$ for the regime illustrated in Fig. 1, where majority ion density $n_B = (a) 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, (b) $5 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$, (c) $2.5 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$. The plasma parameters are $n_B/n_0 = 0.05$, $T_e = 2$ keV, $L_B/\rho_B = 1822$, $B_0 = 3.4$ T, and $L_B = 3$ m.
FIG. 5. The ratio of transmitted power to input power as a function of $k_1L_B$ for the regime illustrated in Fig. 1, where majority ion density $n_m = (a) 10^{10}\text{m}^{-3}$, (b) $2.5 \times 10^{10}\text{m}^{-3}$, (c) $2.5 \times 10^{10}\text{m}^{-3}$. The plasma parameters are $n_m/n_w = 0.01$, $T_m = 8\text{ keV}$, $L_B/p_B = 911$, $B_0 = 3.4\text{ T}$, and $L_B = 3\text{ m}$.

FIG. 6. The ratio of transmitted power to input power as a function of $k_1L_B$ for the regime illustrated in Fig. 1, where majority ion density $n_m = (a) 10^{10}\text{m}^{-3}$, (b) $5 \times 10^{10}\text{m}^{-3}$, (c) $2.5 \times 10^{10}\text{m}^{-3}$. The plasma parameters are $n_m/n_w = 0.05$, $T_m = 8\text{ keV}$, $L_B/p_B = 911$, $B_0 = 3.4\text{ T}$, and $L_B = 3\text{ m}$.

FIG. 7. The ratio of transmitted power to input power as a function of minority ion density, expressed as $n_m/n_w$ for the case $n_m = 5 \times 10^{10}\text{m}^{-3}$. The plasma parameters are $T_m = 8\text{ keV}$, $L_B/p_B = 911$, $k_1L_B = 30$, $B_0 = 3.4\text{ T}$, and $L_B = 3\text{ m}$.

We conclude this section by presenting some results concerning the perpendicular absorption mechanism arising from the inclusion in the gyrokinetic analysis of the variation of the magnetic field across the minority ion Larmor radius.\textsuperscript{21}\textsuperscript{-4} For perpendicular propagation, the optical depth is given by Eq. (62). For $k_1L_B = 0$, $L_B/p_B = 1191$, and again considering $D(\text{He})$ for which $r_1 = 4/3$, Eq. (70) gives the critical value $(r_2)_{\text{crit}} = 0.003$. This value satisfies the criterion for the perturbation formula to be valid and gives an optical depth of 3.5%. This agrees with the value obtained from a solution of the general dispersion relation, Eq. (66).

To investigate much larger values of $r_2$ (minority to majority density ratios) we must solve Eq. (66) numerically. Increasing $r_2$ to a value of 0.1 and obtaining the optical depth from Eq. (66), we now find a value of only 0.4%. Thus, by increasing the minority to majority ratio by a factor of 30, the fast wave loses almost no energy in crossing the minority resonance.

FIG. 8. Magnitude of left circularly polarized wave field $E_+$ (upper) and right circularly polarized wave field $E_-$ (lower) as a function of $x/p_B$ for a case where the two-ion hybrid resonance is absent. The plasma parameters are $n_m/n_w = 0.0015$, $n_w = 5 \times 10^{10}\text{m}^{-3}$, $T_m = 5\text{ keV}$, $L_B/p_B = 1191$, $k_1L_B = 0$, $B_0 = 3.4\text{ T}$, and $L_B = 3.1\text{ m}$.
The explanation for this behavior can be found in the change in the electric field polarization as a function of $r_2$. Figures 8 and 9 show the variation of the two field polarizations

$$E_+ = (\delta E_x + i\delta E_y) / (|\delta E_x|^2 + |\delta E_y|^2)^{1/2}$$

and

$$E_- = (\delta E_x - i\delta E_y) / (|\delta E_x|^2 + |\delta E_y|^2)^{1/2}$$

across the minority resonance region, obtained from Eq. (73) for two different cases. Figure 8 refers to the case $r_2 = 0.003$ and shows that the $E_+$ component, which is responsible for the resonant interaction with the minority ions, is almost zero except close to the resonance where it is enhanced to about 26%. On the other hand, for $r_2 = 0.1$, Fig. 9 shows the opposite behavior. Again, $E_+$ is very small outside the resonance region, but in the resonance region, it is depressed to even lower values, consistent with almost 100% transmission.

The behavior just described suggests the following interpretation of the perpendicular damping mechanism. For small values of $r_2 \leq (r_2)_c$, the hybrid resonance is either overlapped by the minority resonance or does not occur. Even when the hybrid resonance does not exist, there is still an associated variation of the real part of the wave number which enhances the field polarization in the region where absorption can occur. Thus, the condition to maximize the perpendicular absorption is that the minority to majority density ratio should correspond to $(r_2)_c$. Substituting the value for $(r_2)_c$ into Eq. (62) for $\tau_{bl}$, we obtain

$$\tau_{bl} \approx \pi (v_{Tb}/c_A) \left[ (r_2 - 1)/(r_2 + 1) \right].$$

(74)

For a given plasma species, this suggests that the optical depth for perpendicular propagation depends on the single dimensionless parameter $v_{Tb}/c_A$, independent of the machine scale length $L_B$, but now dependent on the minority temperature.

We have used Eq. (66) to test the scaling given by Eq. (74) for minority temperatures ranging from 5 to 200 keV for JET parameters. Taking the deuterium density to be $5 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$, $B_0 = 3.4$ T, and $L_B = 3.1$ m, we first calculate the values of $(r_2)_c$ for various minority temperatures; see Table I, which also lists the quantity $v_{Tb}/c_A$. Since $k_x\rho_b \approx v_{Tb}/c_A$, it is clear that $k_x^2\rho_b \ll 1$ for the minority temperatures chosen. The dispersion relation Eq. (66) is now solved for the above parameters, giving the results shown in Table II. These were obtained by starting with a low value of $r_2$ which was then gradually increased until a cutoff appeared. The optical depth is given for the value of $r_2$ just below that at which the cutoff appears, this is to be compared with the corresponding quantity predicted by Eq. (74) and given by the fourth column of Table I. The value of $r_2$ just before the cutoff appears is also given for comparison with $(r_2)_c$. Once the cutoff appears, a full wave theory should be used. The results obtained from Eq. (66) are given in Table II. Comparing Tables I and II we see that the general behavior is borne out. The optical depth increases linearly with $v_{Tb}/c_A$ at first, but falls off a little for larger values of $v_{Tb}/c_A$. The value of $r_2$ agrees to within a factor of 2 with $(r_2)_c$.

The explanation for this behavior can be found in the change in the electric field polarization as a function of $r_2$. Figures 8 and 9 show the variation of the two field polarizations

$$E_+ = (\delta E_x + i\delta E_y) / (|\delta E_x|^2 + |\delta E_y|^2)^{1/2}$$

and

$$E_- = (\delta E_x - i\delta E_y) / (|\delta E_x|^2 + |\delta E_y|^2)^{1/2}$$

across the minority resonance region, obtained from Eq. (73) for two different cases. Figure 8 refers to the case $r_2 = 0.003$ and shows that the $E_+$ component, which is responsible for the resonant interaction with the minority ions, is almost zero except close to the resonance where it is enhanced to about 26%. On the other hand, for $r_2 = 0.1$, Fig. 9 shows the opposite behavior. Again, $E_+$ is very small outside the resonance region, but in the resonance region, it is depressed to even lower values, consistent with almost 100% transmission.

The behavior just described suggests the following interpretation of the perpendicular damping mechanism. For small values of $r_2 \leq (r_2)_c$, the hybrid resonance is either overlapped by the minority resonance or does not occur. Even when the hybrid resonance does not exist, there is still an associated variation of the real part of the wave number which enhances the field polarization in the region where absorption can occur. Thus, the condition to maximize the perpendicular absorption is that the minority to majority density ratio should correspond to $(r_2)_c$. Substituting the value for $(r_2)_c$ into Eq. (62) for $\tau_{bl}$, we obtain

$$\tau_{bl} \approx \pi (v_{Tb}/c_A) \left[ (r_2 - 1)/(r_2 + 1) \right].$$

(74)

For a given plasma species, this suggests that the optical depth for perpendicular propagation depends on the single dimensionless parameter $v_{Tb}/c_A$, independent of the machine scale length $L_B$, but now dependent on the minority temperature.

We have used Eq. (66) to test the scaling given by Eq. (74) for minority temperatures ranging from 5 to 200 keV for JET parameters. Taking the deuterium density to be $5 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$, $B_0 = 3.4$ T, and $L_B = 3.1$ m, we first calculate the values of $(r_2)_c$ for various minority temperatures; see Table I, which also lists the quantity $v_{Tb}/c_A$. Since $k_x\rho_b \approx v_{Tb}/c_A$, it is clear that $k_x^2\rho_b \ll 1$ for the minority temperatures chosen. The dispersion relation Eq. (66) is now solved for the above parameters, giving the results shown in Table II. These were obtained by starting with a low value of $r_2$ which was then gradually increased until a cutoff appeared. The optical depth is given for the value of $r_2$ just below that at which the cutoff appears, this is to be compared with the corresponding quantity predicted by Eq. (74) and given by the fourth column of Table I. The value of $r_2$ just before the cutoff appears is also given for comparison with $(r_2)_c$. Once the cutoff appears, a full wave theory should be used. The results obtained from Eq. (66) are given in Table II. Comparing Tables I and II we see that the general behavior is borne out. The optical depth increases linearly with $v_{Tb}/c_A$ at first, but falls off a little for larger values of $v_{Tb}/c_A$. The value of $r_2$ agrees to within a factor of 2 with $(r_2)_c$.
TABLE IV. Values of $r_2$ just below cutoff and of $r_1$ obtained from Eq. (66), for increasing values of magnetic inhomogeneity length scale $L_B$. Minority ion temperature $T_i = 10$ keV and deuterium density $n_{de} = 2 \times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L_B$ (m)</th>
<th>$v_{TB}/c_A$</th>
<th>$L_B/p_B$</th>
<th>$r_1$</th>
<th>$(r_1)_{crit}$</th>
<th>$r_2$</th>
<th>$(r_2)_{crit}$</th>
<th>$r_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>280.9</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>842.6</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>1685.0</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A similar comparison is made in Table III, which was obtained from Eq. (66) for a higher deuterium density, $n_{de} = 2 \times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$. The scaling is similar to the previous results: the optical depth increases with $v_{TB}/c_A$, and $r_2$ is within a factor of 2 of $(r_2)_{crit}$.

In Table IV we demonstrate the effect of changing $L_B$ while keeping the density and temperature fixed. Table IV supports the conjecture that the maximum optical depth for perpendicular propagation is independent of the scale length. The value obtained is in good agreement with Eq. (74), which gives 0.097; $r_2$ and $(r_2)_{crit}$ are closer for this case.

The results presented in Tables I-IV lend support to the conjecture that the perpendicular damping depends only on the parameter $v_{TB}/c_A$. Thus, for strong perpendicular absorption, high ion temperatures are required such that $v_{TB} > c_A$. This is the regime of the fusion products and also of minority tails in present discharges with ion cyclotron resonant heating (ICRH). In order to extend the analysis to this regime, we must generalize the present treatment to include $k_x \rho_i > 1$, since $k_x \rho_i = v_{Ti}/c_A$. We also note that the optical depth for the minority ion resonance in this high ion temperature regime is evidently proportional to the minority temperature; this is in contrast to the standard result.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have extended the gyrokinetic theory of cyclotron resonance to include propagation at arbitrary angles to the equilibrium magnetic field. Our aim in the present work has been to provide a more accurate and yet simpler means for calculating the transmission coefficient for fast wave heating in the ion cyclotron range of frequencies. The increase in accuracy stems from the capability of gyrokinetic theory to include the self-consistent particle response in a nonuniform magnetic field. The simplicity of our approach is due to our use of a single-mode treatment based on the self-consistent local dispersion relation. This dispersion relation is valid for arbitrary ratios of the minority to majority density. However, when this ratio exceeds the critical value defined in Sec. III, the present single-mode treatment is no longer valid and a full wave theory is required. Such a calculation will be presented in the near future.

The single-mode description that we have employed is most reliable when either the minority absorption region is well separated from the hybrid resonance or the minority cyclotron damping is strong enough to remove the hybrid resonance. We have estimated a critical minority to majority density ratio, below which the hybrid resonance is expected to be absent. Most of the results we have presented have been obtained for this condition. The dependence of the transmission coefficient on the minority to majority ratio, the minority temperature, and the majority density as a function of $k_x L_B$ has been presented.

The analysis given in this paper is three dimensional since it contains the three components of the wave vector: $k_x$, $k_y$, and $k_z$. For simplicity, we have restricted our numerical examples to the $k_z = 0$ case. Since the gyrokinetic theory automatically includes the kinetic power flow, we are able to calculate the variation of the electric field polarization through the resonance region.

We have also presented further results on the perpendicular ion cyclotron damping mechanism previously identified. It has been found that this damping is only significant below a certain minority to majority density ratio which is proportional to $\rho_i/L_B$. For minority densities below this value, the hybrid resonance does not occur and perpendicular damping takes place. For minority densities larger than the critical value, the hybrid resonance occurs with the result that the right circularly polarized component of the electric field is reduced almost to zero in the minority resonance region and there is no damping. When the occurrence of the hybrid resonance removes the damping in the vicinity of the minority resonance, the fast wave interacts strongly with the plasma around the hybrid resonance, where mode conversion to an ion Bernstein wave takes place. This is beyond the scope of the present single-mode analysis. Our results suggest that the perpendicular damping is proportional to $v_{TB}/c_A$. Strong perpendicular damping is therefore expected when $v_{TB} > c_A$, which corresponds to $k_x \rho_i > 1$. In order to investigate this regime, relevant to fusion products and ICRH-generated ion tails, the present analysis must be extended to include $k_x L_B \approx 1$.
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