Tokamak current driven by poloidally asymmetric fueling
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It is shown that poloidally asymmetric particle transport or fueling in a tokamak generally produces an electric current parallel to the magnetic field, in particular if the transport or fueling is up-down asymmetric. For instance, a current arises in the edge region if most particle transport across the last closed flux surface occurs in the midplane while most refueling comes from recycling near the X-point. This current is negative relative to the bulk plasma current (and thus stabilizing to peeling modes) if the ion drift is toward the X-point, and changes direction if the magnetic field is reversed. However, this current appears to be smaller than the pedestal bootstrap current under typical conditions. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2358972]

I. INTRODUCTION

The cross-field transport that takes place in a tokamak, and the refueling or heating that balances it, are in general not poloidally symmetric. Turbulent transport is usually believed to be in-out asymmetric due to the ballooning nature of the underlying instabilities, and is probably also up-down asymmetric in the edge region if the separatrix has an X-point. Similarly, the incoming particle flux that balances this transport is poloidally asymmetric, too, since much of the recycling takes place in the divertor region close to the X-point. External means of refueling, such as injection of neutral beams or pellets, is also poloidally asymmetric in general.

It was shown in a recent paper1 that, because of toroidal effects, up-down asymmetric electron cyclotron heating of an otherwise up-down symmetric plasma produces a parallel current. Unlike other forms of current drive, this scheme does not require the waves to interact preferentially with electrons traveling in any one particular direction. On the other hand, the current drive efficiency is smaller than the usual one by a factor of the order of the collisionality ν, which is small in the center of the plasma.

In the present paper, we point out that a similar current can be produced by a poloidally asymmetric particle source (or sink from transport), such as that naturally occurring at the edge. As we shall see, the current arises essentially because the electrons and ions from the source, although equal in number, spread out over the flux surface in different ways. From a mathematical point of view, perhaps the easiest way to understand why the current arises is to consider the usual bootstrap current, which is obtained by solving the drift kinetic equation

\[ v_1 \nabla f_1 - C(f_1) = -v_d \cdot \nabla f_0, \]

for the deviation \( f_1 \) of the electron distribution function from the Maxwellian \( f_0 \). In a torus with large aspect ratio, the electron drift velocity \( v_d \) is approximately vertical and points upward if the toroidal magnetic field is in the direction favorable for high-confinement mode (H-mode) access (and the X-point at the bottom). Mathematically, the right-hand side of the drift kinetic equation thus represents a source above the midplane and a sink below it, if \( f_0 \) peaks in the center. In the same way, a bootstrap-like current is produced by any such source or sink, including a pure heating source such as that considered in Ref. 1 or the pure particle source considered in this paper. We calculate the magnitude of the current in the different collisionality regimes and discuss its possible practical implications. First, in Sec. II we calculate this current in the low-collisionality “banana” regime, \( \nu_s \ll 1 \), and provide a detailed physical picture of the mechanism producing the current. In the next section we consider the opposite regime of high collisionality and show that the dominant contribution comes from a current analogous to the Pfirsch-Schlüter current. Unlike the bootstrap-like current that arises in the banana regime, this current changes sign over the flux surface—it is partly positive and partly negative, and satisfies \( \langle j_B \rangle = 0 \), where \( B \) is the magnetic field strength, \( j_1 \) the parallel current density, and \( \langle \cdot \cdot \rangle \) denotes a flux-surface average. There is, however, a remnant of the bootstrap-like current with \( \langle j_B \rangle \neq 0 \) that survives at high collisionality. It is smaller than the Pfirsch-Schlüter-like current and is most easily calculated by using a Green’s function formalism, based on solving an “adjoint” kinetic equation,2 as shown in Sec. IV. Our conclusions are summarized in the final section.

II. BANANA REGIME

The current is calculated from the electron drift kinetic equation averaged over turbulent fluctuations, as

\[ v_1 \nabla f_1 - C(f_1) = -\frac{e v_1 E_1^{(A)}}{T_e} f_0 - v_d \cdot \nabla f_0 + S(r, v, \lambda, \sigma) + D(r, v, \lambda, \sigma), \]

where the independent variables are the spatial coordinates \( r \), the velocity \( v \), the normalized magnetic moment \( \lambda = v_1^3 / v^2 B \), and the sign of the parallel velocity \( \sigma = v_1 |v_1| \). \( C_r \) denotes the electron collision operator, and the terms on the
right represent various driving forces that can all produce parallel currents. Since the equation is linear and the contributions from these terms to the current are thus additive, we shall ignore the first two terms, which are conventional. The first term, containing the inductive electric field $E^\parallel$, drives the usual Ohmic current (with neoclassical corrections), and the second one produces the bootstrap current. Instead, we focus on the remaining two terms; namely, $S$ which denotes the particle source from ionization of neutral atoms, and $D$, which represents the effects of anomalous transport. This term is obtained by performing an average over turbulent fluctuations and is given in Ref. 3. Since $S$ and $D$ appear linearly in Eq. (1), their effects on the distribution function and the current are additive, and we consider only $S$. The collision operator is

$$C_e(f_1) = C_e^b(f_1) + \frac{m_{ei} v_e^3}{T_e} 2V_{ cil} \delta \theta,$$

$$C_e^b(f_1) = C_{ee}(f_1) + v_p^3 \mathcal{L}(f_1),$$

where $C_{ee}$ is the electron-electron collision operator, $v_{ei}^3 = 3\pi^{1/2}/4 \tau_{ei} x^3$ the ion-electron deflection frequency, with $x = v/v \tau_e$, the velocity normalized to the electron thermal speed, $v_{ei}^3=(2T_e/m_e)^{1/2}$, the electron-ion collision time $\tau_{ei} = 12\pi^{1/2}m_i^{1/2}T_e^{3/2}e_{el}^2/2m_e^2e^4 \ln \Lambda$, and the Lorentz scattering operator is defined as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{2v_e}{u_B^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} v_e \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}.$$

(2)

If the magnetic field is up-down symmetric and the electrons are in the banana regime of low collisionality, it turns out that only the up-down asymmetric part of the source term contributes to the current in lowest order in the collision frequency. It is therefore convenient to decompose $S$ into terms that are even and odd in the poloidal angle (measured from the midplane). If the magnetic field is up-down symmetric, we thus write $S(v, \lambda, \phi, \theta) = S_e(v, \lambda, \phi, \theta) + S_o(v, \lambda, \psi, \theta)$, with

$$S_e(v, \lambda, \phi, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} [S(v, \lambda, \phi, \theta) \pm S(v, \lambda, \phi, -\theta)].$$

(3)

Here and in the following, we take the range of the poloidal angle to be $-\pi < \theta < \pi$. Since the orbit average of the odd part vanishes, $\int S_e d\theta = 0$ when taken over a period of any particle orbit, it can be expressed as $S_o = v \nabla h$ for some function $h(v, \lambda, \phi, \theta)$. If, for simplicity, the fueling is isotropic in velocity space and localized at a single poloidal angle, i.e., $\theta = \theta_0$, the particle source can be represented by

$$S(v, \lambda, \psi, \theta) = S_0(v, \lambda, \psi, \theta) \delta(\theta - \theta_0),$$

and then $h$ may be chosen as

$$h = \frac{qR_s S_0(v, \lambda, \psi, \theta) H(\theta)}{2\sigma v \sqrt{1 - \lambda B_s}},$$

(5)

with $B_s = B(\theta_0) = B(-\theta_0)$, $qR_s = 1/\nabla|\theta|_{\theta_0} a$, and

$$H(\theta) = \begin{cases} \text{sign} \theta, & |\theta| < \theta_0, \\ 0, & |\theta| > \theta_0. \end{cases}$$

(6)

A source that is distributed over a range of poloidal angles can be represented in the obvious way by a linear combination (integral) of such functions $h$. If the magnetic field is not up-down symmetric, it is convenient to use a poloidal angle $\theta$ with the property that $\nabla \theta$ is constant on each flux surface. This is accomplished by defining $\theta$ through the relation

$$\frac{d\theta}{\nabla \theta} = \frac{2\pi}{\nabla \theta} \left[ \int_{-\pi}^\pi \frac{d\theta}{\nabla \theta} \right] \propto \int_{-\pi}^\pi \nabla \theta.$$

(7)

where $\theta$ is the usual poloidal angle. The latter may, in fact, be defined arbitrarily (as long as it is $2\pi$-periodic) since Eq. (7) is independent of the definition of $\theta$. With this choice of $\theta$, we still have

$$S_o(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} [S(\theta) - S(-\theta)] = v_B \nabla h,$$

(8)

with $h$ given by Eqs. (5) and (6).

The kinetic equation can now be written as

$$v_B \nabla h = f_1 = f_S + f_V.$$

(9)

and can be solved by the usual techniques of neoclassical transport theory. There are two driving terms in Eq. (9), originating from the source $S$ (appearing through the function $h$) and the ion flow $V_{cil}$, respectively, and we may decompose the solution accordingly:

$$f_1 = f_S + f_V.$$

(10)

The term $f_V$, which is driven by $V_{cil}$, is well known from the theory of neutral-beam current drive, where it represents the electron “shielding” of the beam current and has been calculated many times in the literature. We therefore restrict our attention to the source-driven part of the electron distribution function, which satisfies Eq. (9) without the last term on the right. In the banana regime of small collisionality, $g = f_S - h$ is independent of the poloidal angle in lowest order, $\nabla g = 0$. From the next-order equation, it follows that $g$ vanishes in the trapped region and satisfies

$$\left\langle \frac{B}{v_B} C_e^b(\theta + h) \right\rangle = 0$$

(11)

in the passing region, where angular brackets denote the flux-surface average:

$$\langle \ldots \rangle = \int_{-\pi}^\pi (\ldots) \frac{d\theta}{B} \left/ \int_{-\pi}^\pi \frac{d\theta}{B} \right..$$

(12)

We expect most of the particles in $f_S$ to have kinetic energies much smaller than $T_e$. Indeed, the calculation in the Appendix shows that when $S$ describes an ionization source the spectrum of the emitted electrons is mainly localized to energies comparable to the ionization energy, which is usually
far lower than the electron temperature in the plasma edge region. Since the frequency of electron-ion collisions scales as \(v^{-3}\) and that of electron-electron collisions as \(v^{-2}\) for small \(v\), the latter can be neglected, and the solution of Eq. (11) becomes

\[
\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} = -\left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial \lambda} \right).
\]  

The current associated with \(g\) is

\[
j_1^g = -e \int v_i g d^3v = -\frac{n e q R B}{2B_e} J(\theta_*),
\]  

where, for simplicity, we have taken the source to be isotropic and written

\[
n(\psi) = \int S_0(v, \psi) d^3v
\]}

and

\[
J(\theta_*) = \frac{B^2}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{(H(\theta) \sqrt{1 - \lambda B}) - \lambda d\lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda B}} (1 - \lambda B) \lambda d\lambda.
\]

In the usual limit of a circular flux surface with large aspect ratio, \(B = B_0(1 - e \cos \theta)\), the flux-average over the source is done in \(e^{1/2}\). In the passing region of velocity space

\[
(H(\theta) \sqrt{1 - \lambda B}) = \sqrt{\frac{2e}{2e + k^2}} \frac{E(\theta_*/2,k)}{\pi} \sin \theta_*,
\]

where \(E\) denotes an incomplete elliptic integral and

\[
k^2 = \frac{2e \lambda B_0}{1 - \lambda B_0(1 - e)}.
\]

Hence, the expansion of \(J(\theta_*)\) in the small parameter \(e^{1/2}\) is

\[
J(\theta_*) = -\frac{\sin \theta_*}{\sqrt{e}} \int_0^{1} \frac{E(\theta_*/2,k)dk}{\sqrt{2 - (1 - \cos \theta_*)k^2}} E(\pi/2,k) + O(1)
\]

and the current (14) becomes

\[
j_1^g = -\frac{n e q R J(\theta_*)}{2}.
\]

Since this expression contains the factor \(J(\theta_*)\), which is of order \(e^{1/2}\), the current carried by \(g\) is \(O(e^{-1/2})\) larger than that carried by \(h\), which is obtained by taking the appropriate integral of Eq. (5) and contains no such large factor. The total current is thus, finally,

\[
j_1 = n e V_{||} - e \int v_i (f_V + g + h) d^3v = j_1^g,
\]

since the current associated with \(f_V\) approximately cancels the ion current. In the next subsection, we calculate corrections to this result due to electron-electron collisions.

In order to clarify the direction of the current, we express the magnetic field in the standard form \(B = I(\phi) \nabla \varphi + \nabla \varphi \times \nabla \psi\) and choose the toroidal angle \(\varphi\) to be in the direction of the main plasma current, so that \(\nabla \psi\) points radially outward from the magnetic axis. The drift velocity is either outward or inward depending on the sign of

\[
(B \times \nabla B) \cdot \nabla \psi = -IB \cdot \nabla B = -\frac{eIB^2}{qR} \sin \theta,
\]

where we have taken \(B = B_0(1 - e \cos \theta)\) and used \(\nabla \psi = 1/qR\). The \(\varphi\) component of the source-driven current is

\[
j_\varphi = \frac{I_{\parallel 1} R^2}{2R(B \times \nabla B) \cdot \nabla \psi}_{\theta=\theta_*} J(\theta_*) \sin \theta_*,
\]

where \(J(\theta_*) \sin \theta_*\) is negative [see Eq. (19)]. The source-driven current is thus in the same direction as the main plasma current if the ion grad-\(B\) drift is inward, \(B \times \nabla B \cdot \nabla \psi < 0\), at the location of the source, and in the opposite direction otherwise. Specifically, if the magnetic configuration is favorable for H-mode access (ion drift toward the single X-point) and the fueling occurs at the X-point, then the source-driven current is opposite to the main plasma current.

**A. The effect of electron-electron collisions**

It is customary in neoclassical theory to model the electron-electron collision operator by

\[
C^{ee}_\nu(g) = \nu e \left[ \mathcal{L}(g) + \frac{m_{ue} u}{T_e} \right] f_{e0},
\]

where \(u\) is a coefficient that is chosen so as to ensure momentum conservation. In more accurate calculations of transport, \(u\) is sometimes allowed to vary with velocity in a simple way, usually by \(u = u_0 + u_1 \eta^2\). (For instance, this is effectively what is done in the Hirshman-Sigmar moment formalism.) For the purpose of the present paper, however, this approximation is not good enough if the typical energy of electrons from the source is much lower than the bulk electron temperature. Instead, we shall let \(u\) depend on energy in such a way that the \(P_1(\xi)\)-moment of the full (linearized) collision operator is exactly reproduced by the model operator (24). Here \(\xi = \psi/\psi\), \(P_1(\xi) = \xi\) denotes a Legendre polynomial, and a superscript \((1)\) will be used for the \(P_1\) component of any function of velocity space; e.g.,

\[
g^{(1)}(v) = \frac{3}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} g(v, \xi) \xi d\xi.
\]

This moment of the model operator is

\[
C^{(1)}_m(g) = \nu e \left[ -g^{(1)}(v) + \frac{m_{ue} u}{T_e} f_{e0} \right]
\]

while that of the full linearized operator is equal to
\[ C_{ee}^{(1)}(g) = -v_{ee}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2u^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ v^3 \nu_e \left[ g^{(1)} + \frac{T_e}{m_e} \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial u} \right] \right] + \frac{e^4 \ln \Lambda}{m_e^2 e_0^2} \left[ g^{(1)} + \frac{m_e}{T_e} \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial u} - \frac{m_e}{T_e} \frac{\partial^2 g^{(1)}}{\partial u^2} \right] f_{e0}. \]

(27)

Here
\[ \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left( v^2 \frac{\partial \varphi^{(1)}}{\partial u} \right) = g^{(1)}, \]

(28)

\[ \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left( v^2 \frac{\partial \varphi^{(1)}}{\partial u} \right) = \varphi^{(1)} \]

(29)

are Rosenbluth potentials, \( v_e = 4v_e^* G(v/v_{Te})/(v/v_{Te}) \) is the slowing-down frequency, \( G(x) = [\text{erf}(x) - x \text{erf}'(x)]/2x^2 \) the Chandrasekhar function, and \( v_e = n_e e^4 \ln \Lambda / 4 \pi e^2 m_e^2 v_{Te} \). For subthermal velocities, \( v < v_{Te} \), we have
\[ \left( \frac{m_e}{T_e} \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial u} \right) \left( \frac{m_e}{T_e} \frac{\partial \varphi^{(1)}}{\partial u} \right) \approx \frac{e^4 \ln \Lambda}{m_e^2 e_0^2} \varphi^{(1)} f_{e0}. \]

(30)

This means that energy diffusion dominates in the full linearized collision operator, and equating (26) with (27) gives
\[ \frac{m_e v}{T_e} u f_{e0} = \frac{1}{2u^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left( v^2 \frac{\partial \varphi^{(1)}}{\partial u} \right). \]

(32)

Equation (11) now becomes
\[ \langle v_i \rangle \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{1}{\nu_e} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \lambda} - \frac{m_e v^2}{2T_e} \varphi^{(1)} \left( \frac{v_{ee}^*}{v_{ee}^* + v_D^*} (uB) f_{e0} \right), \]

(33)

so that \( f_{s}^{(1)} = g^{(1)} + h^{(1)} \) is given by
\[ f_{s}^{(1)} = \frac{3qR eS_0(v)B}{2vB_s} \left[ J(\theta_e) + H(\theta) \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{B_s}{B}} \right) \right] + \frac{m_e B^2 v_{ee}^*}{2B_s v_{ee}^* + v_D^*} (uB) f_{e0}, \]

(34)

where \( v_{ee}^* = \left( 4v_e^* \sqrt{\pi} \right)^3 \) and
\[ f_{e} = \frac{3(B^2)}{4} \int_0^{\min} \frac{\lambda \ln \lambda}{(\sqrt{1 - \lambda B^2})} d\lambda \]

(35)

is the effective fraction of circulating particles. In the limit of large aspect ratio and circular flux surfaces, this quantity becomes \( f_e \approx 1 - 1.46 \sqrt{\epsilon} \). Using \( g^{(1)} \) from (34), Eq. (32) gives
\[ u w f_{e0} = \frac{1}{2u^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \frac{3qR eS_0(v)B}{2vB_s, m_e} \left( J(\theta_e) + H(\theta) \right) \times \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{B_s}{B}} \right) + \frac{f_{s}B^2}{v_{Te}(B^2)} (Bu) f_{e0} \right]. \]

(36)

where the last term can be neglected, being \( O(v_i/v_{Te}) \) smaller than the left-hand side, where \( v_i \) is the characteristic velocity of the source particles. This term is therefore of order \( (v_i/v_{Te})^2 \) smaller than the leading order terms in \( g \). The current associated with \( g \) thus becomes approximately equal to
\[ j_{\parallel} = -e \int v_{\parallel} g d^3 v = -e q R B \left[ \frac{nJ(\theta_e) + 10f_{s}}{2v_{Te}} \left( J(\theta_e) + H(\theta) B^2 \right) \right] X \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{B_s}{B}} \right) \int S_0(v) 4\pi v^3 d^3 v, \]

(37)

where the first term is identical to the result (14) found in the Lorenz limit, and the second term represents the correction due to electron-electron collisions. This correction is formally small, of order \( v_e^3/v_{Te} \), but has a fairly large coefficient and may therefore be important in practice. In the limit of large aspect ratio, the current becomes
\[ j_{\parallel} = -e q R J(\theta_e) \frac{2}{2} \frac{n + 10}{v_{Te}} \int S_0(v) 4\pi v^3 d^3 v. \]

(38)

B. Physical picture

The physical origin of the current can be understood by considering the fate of electrons added to the plasma in a single point, which we take to be somewhere below the midplane, \(-\pi < \theta < 0\). In addition, it is useful to assume initially that the source is not isotropic in velocity space but instead such that the particles have no parallel velocity at birth. This implies that all particles are born on trapped orbits at the lower bounce point, and immediately after birth start moving toward the upper bounce point. Each particle gets accelerated in the parallel direction by the mirror force, and by the time it reaches the midplane it has acquired a parallel velocity of order
\[ v_{\parallel} \sim v \epsilon^{1/2}. \]

If electrons are continuously added to the plasma in this way, at a rate \( \dot{n}_e \) per unit time and volume, there will thus be more co-moving trapped electrons than counter-moving ones (if the direction of the magnetic field is such that particles have \( v_i > 0 \) when traveling upward); see Fig. 1. The ratio of the number of co- to countercolliding trapped electrons is
\[ \frac{n_{ec}}{n_{counter}} \sim \frac{\dot{n}_e}{\dot{n}_e} \sim \frac{n_{e}R}{v n e}, \]

(39)

where \( n_{e} \sim \epsilon^{1/2} \) is the trapped-electron density and \( qR/v_{Te} \) the time it takes a trapped electron to travel from the lower to the upper bounce point.

So far we have only considered collisionless particles. However, particles with different \( v/v_{Te} \) interact through pitch-angle scattering collisions and this has implications for the net current. If the trapped and circulating populations are to be in a collisional equilibrium with each other, there must be a surplus of co-passing particles similar to that of co-trapped ones; i.e.,
This results in a parallel current density of order \( n_{\text{counter}} \mathcal{T} \), where the integration constant \( K(\psi) \) is a flux function. The physical reason that the Pfirsch-Schlüter-like current appears is that the poloidal variation of the source causes the electron pressure, the electron temperature, and the electrostatic potential to acquire a poloidal variation, e.g., \( p_e = \bar{p}_e(\psi) + \tilde{p}_e(\psi, \theta) \), where \( \bar{p}_e \ll \tilde{p}_e \) if the source is weak. The accompanying parallel gradients drive a flow of the electron fluid relative to the ion fluid, i.e., a current, in accordance with the parallel Ohm’s law

\[
V_{\text{el}} - V_{\text{hi}} = -j_{12} \left( \frac{\nabla \tilde{p}_e}{\tilde{p}_e} - \frac{e \nabla \phi}{T_e} \right) - j_{11} \frac{\nabla T_e}{T_e}.
\]  

(45)

The transport coefficients \( l_{kl} \) depend on the composition of the plasma and have been calculated by numerous authors, including Spitzer and Härm\(^9\) and Braginskii\(^10\) who considered a pure plasma without impurities. We do not need these coefficients, but merely the fact that the flux-surface average of Eq. (45) multiplied by \( B \) gives

\[
\langle j_{12} B \rangle = 0.
\]

(46)

This property is characteristic of the Pfirsch-Schlüter current, and implies that the current changes sign over the flux surface. Note that there may well be a net toroidal current although \( \langle j_{12} B \rangle = 0 \). Combining this result with Eq. (44) gives the local current density

\[
j_1 \sim -\frac{n_{\text{eq}} R}{2 e^{1/2}}.
\]

(41)

It is seen from this physical picture that the mechanism producing the fueling-driven current is rather similar to that of the bootstrap current, where the difference in co- and counter-moving trapped electrons is instead created by the radial density and temperature gradients.

### III. PFIRSCH-SCHLÜTER-LIKE CURRENT

If a poloidally varying particle source is present in a collisional plasma, it causes a parallel current analogous to the usual Pfirsch-Schlüter current. This current can be calculated from fluid equations obtained by taking moments of Eq. (1). Neglecting the cross-field drift (which gives rise to the usual Pfirsch-Schlüter current), we obtain the steady-state continuity equation

\[
B \nabla \left( \frac{n_{\text{eq}} V_{eq}}{B} \right) = s_p(\theta),
\]

(42)

where the right-hand side represents the particle source

\[
s_p(\theta) = \int (S + D) d^3\nu.
\]

(43)

This must vanish on a flux-surface average, \( \langle s_p \rangle = 0 \), so that the source is balanced by transport in steady state. It follows that the parallel particle flux is

\[
n_{eq} V_{eq} = B \int_0^\theta s_p(\theta') d\theta' - B \nabla \left( \frac{s_p(\theta')}{\theta'} \right) + K(\psi),
\]

(44)

where the integration constant \( K(\psi) \) is a flux function.

The physical reason that the Pfirsch-Schlüter-like current appears is that the poloidal variation of the source causes the electron pressure, the electron temperature, and the electrostatic potential to acquire a poloidal variation, e.g., \( p_e = \bar{p}_e(\psi) + \tilde{p}_e(\psi, \theta) \), where \( \bar{p}_e \ll \tilde{p}_e \) if the source is weak. The accompanying parallel gradients drive a flow of the electron fluid relative to the ion fluid, i.e., a current, in accordance with the parallel Ohm’s law

\[
V_{\text{el}} - V_{\text{hi}} = -j_{11} \left( \frac{\nabla \tilde{p}_e}{\tilde{p}_e} - \frac{e \nabla \phi}{T_e} \right) - j_{12} \frac{\nabla T_e}{T_e}.
\]

(45)

The transport coefficients \( l_{kl} \) depend on the composition of the plasma and have been calculated by numerous authors, including Spitzer and Härm\(^9\) and Braginskii\(^10\) who considered a pure plasma without impurities. We do not need these coefficients, but merely the fact that the flux-surface average of Eq. (45) multiplied by \( B \) gives

\[
\langle j_{12} B \rangle = 0.
\]

(46)

This property is characteristic of the Pfirsch-Schlüter current, and implies that the current changes sign over the flux surface. Note that there may well be a net toroidal current although \( \langle j_{12} B \rangle = 0 \). Combining this result with Eq. (44) gives the local current density

\[
j_1 \sim -\frac{n_{eq} R}{2 e^{1/2}}.
\]

(41)
The equation for a “adjoint” space, and taking the flux-surface average gives
\[
\frac{j_v}{B} = n_e e \left( \frac{V_{\|}}{B} - \left\langle \frac{V_{\perp}(B)}{(B^2)} \right\rangle - e \int_0^\theta \frac{s_p(\theta')d\theta'}{B\nabla \theta} \right) + e \left( \frac{B^2}{\nabla^2} \right) \int_0^\theta \frac{s_p(\theta')d\theta'}{B\nabla \theta} .
\] (47)

In a tokamak with large aspect ratio, this current is \(O(e^{1/2})\) smaller than the bootstrap-like current derived in Sec. II.

### IV. BOOTSTRAP-LIKE CURRENT AT HIGH COLLISIONALITY

Although the Pfirsch-Schlüter-like current calculated in the previous section dominates, the current still has a finite component with \(\langle j, B \rangle \neq 0\). In order to calculate this bootstrap-like current, both in the Pfirsch-Schlüter, high-collisionality regime and in the plateau regime of intermediate collisionality, \(1 \ll \nu_e \ll e^{-3/2}\), it is more convenient to employ an “adjoint” (Green’s function) formalism\(^2\) than to solve the kinetic equation
\[
v_1 \nabla f_S - C_e'(f_S) = S .
\] (48)

#### A. Adjoint technique

The adjoint equation is
\[
v_1 \nabla G + C_e'(G) = v_1 B f_0 / (B^2) .
\] (49)

Multiplying this equation by \(f_S / f_0\), integrating over velocity space, and taking the flux-surface average gives
\[
\left\langle \int f_S \frac{v_1 \nabla G + C_e'(G) - v_1 B f_0}{(B^2)} d^3 v \right\rangle = 0 ,
\] (50)

and from Eq. (48) follows similarly
\[
\left\langle \int G \left[ v_1 \nabla f_S - C_e'(f_S) - S \right] d^3 v \right\rangle = 0 .
\] (51)

Adding these two equations and using the self-adjointness of \(C_e'\) gives the electron current as
\[
\frac{\langle j_B \rangle}{B^2} = - \frac{1}{B^2} \int v_1 f_S d^3 v = - e \left\langle \int \frac{G S}{f_0} d^3 v \right\rangle .
\] (52)

Thus, the solution to the adjoint equation (49) enables the average \(\langle j, B \rangle\) of the current to be calculated in a straightforward way. In order to obtain this solution, we first write it as
\[
G = \frac{v_1 f_{sp}(v)B}{B^2} + k ,
\] (53)

where \(f_{sp}\) is the Spitzer function, satisfying
\[
C_e'(v f_{sp}) = - v f_0 .
\] (54)

The equation for \(k\) then becomes
\[
v_1 \nabla k + C_e'(k) = v_1^2 f_{sp}(v) P_2(\xi) \nabla_B / (B^2) ,
\] (55)

with \(P_2(\xi) = (3\xi^2 - 1) / 2\), and this equation will be considered in the plateau and Pfirsch-Schlüter regimes separately.

### B. Plateau regime

In the plateau regime of intermediate collisionality, \(\epsilon \ll 1 \ll \nu_e \ll e^{-3/2}\),
\[
\nabla_B \approx \frac{eB \sin \theta}{qR} ,
\]
(56)
on circular flux surfaces, and Eq. (55) becomes
\[
\frac{v_1}{qR} \partial_k + q(R v_{Te}^2 + v_{Te}^2) \partial_k = - \frac{e v_1 f_{sp}(v)}{2B} \sin \theta ,
\]
(58)
and has the solution\(^4\)
\[
k = \frac{N e v_{sp}}{2B} \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2/2} x^3 \sin(\theta + \chi/N) dx ,
\]
(59)
where \(N = [2v_0/(v_{Te}^2 + v_{Te}^2) qR]^{1/3} \gg 1\). Only the part of \(k\) that is even in \(\xi\) contributes to the current (52), and this part becomes
\[
k_{\text{even}} = \frac{\pi e v_{sp}}{2B} \delta(\xi) \sin \theta ,
\]
(60)
in the limit \(N \rightarrow \infty\). The current (49) is thus
\[
\langle j, B \rangle = \frac{\pi e e B}{4} \int \frac{v_1 f_{sp}}{f_0} \delta(\xi) (S(v, \xi) \sin \theta) d^3 v ,
\]
(61)
and is a factor of order \(1/\nu_e\) smaller than that found in the banana regime.

### C. Pfirsch-Schlüter regime

In the Pfirsch-Schlüter regime of high collisionality, \(\langle j, B \rangle\) becomes very small, just like the ordinary bootstrap current. Equation (55) is to lowest order approximated by
\[
C_e'(k) = v_1^2 f_{sp}(v) P_2(\xi) \nabla_B / (B^2) ,
\]
(62)
and has the approximate solution
\[
k = \frac{\nu_R^2 f_{sp}(v) \nabla_B \nu_R(v)(B^2)}{v_R(v)(B^2) - P_2(\xi) ,}
\]
(63)
where \(\nu_R = (2 - T_e/m_e v_e^2) v_e - v_{Te}^2 - v_{Te}^2\) is the relaxation frequency for perturbations with \(P_2(\xi)\) dependence on pitch angle.\(^5\) (To arrive at this approximate result, one takes the liberty of replacing the collision operator by a Krook operator with the collision frequency \(\nu_R\).) The current (52) thus becomes a factor \(\nu_e^2\) smaller than the corresponding current in the banana regime or the Pfirsch-Schlüter-like current calculated in Sec. III. If the pitch-angle dependence of the source has no \(P_2(\xi)\) component, the integral (52) vanishes in lowest order, and the current becomes even smaller.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

We have established that a poloidally asymmetric particle source, or poloidally asymmetric transport, generally causes a current to flow along the magnetic field. The only
The main difficulty in applying this estimate lies in the uncertainty of \( \dot{N}_e \). In principle, this quantity is measured through the \( D_\alpha \) photon count, from which the total in-vessel ionization events can be determined. However, most of these typically occur in the divertor region, and it is only ionization inside the separatrix that contributes to the current. A rough estimate for \( \dot{N}_e \) can be obtained from the gas puffing rate, giving typically \( \dot{N}_e \sim 1-10 \text{ kA in JET.} \) Alternatively, it can be deduced from edge modeling, where \( \dot{N}_e \sim 2 \text{ kA} \) was found in DIII-D in a plasma with \( p_{\text{ped}} \sim 6.5 \text{ kPa} \). In either case, the ratio (68) becomes quite small. These very approximate estimates suggest that the fueling current is relatively small in typical H-mode plasmas, but do not necessarily mean that it is always unimportant. The fueling current may, for instance, have a radial profile that makes it affect edge magnetohydrodynamic stability.

We close by commenting on the issue of edge plasma rotation. It has been observed in Alcator C-Mod that this rotation depends strongly on the location of the X-point and has intriguing links to the low- to high-confinement mode (L-H) transition. The mechanism attributed to the cause of the rotation is that the transport mostly occurs in the outer midplane, from where plasma flows to the divertor along open lines in the scrape-off layer. Depending on whether the X-point is at the top or at the bottom, most of this flow is either in the co-current or in the counter-current direction. It is believed that these scrape-off layer flows cause the plasma inside the separatrix to rotate accordingly, presumably through cross-field viscosity. However, the results of the present paper suggest another explanation. If the calculation done here for the electrons is instead carried out for the ion species, one arrives that the conclusion that up-down asymmetric fueling creates plasma rotation, which in turn drives radial impurity transport. This rotation is comparable to that caused by parallel plasma flows in the scrape-off layer, and it thus seems likely that the two mechanisms should operate in parallel.
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The cross section for the ionization of a hydrogen atom with the emission of a secondary electron in a given direction is given in Refs. 14–16 under the assumption that the energy of the secondary electron is small in comparison with that of the primary (Born approximation). The differential cross section for production of a secondary electron with a given wave vector \( \kappa \) is
FIG. 2. Energy spectrum of an ionization source: $S_0/C$ as a function of $\kappa$ (wave vector normalized to the Bohr radius) for different energies $E_T$.

\[
d\sigma = \frac{2^{10}k'k}{kq^2} \left[ \frac{q^2 + (1 + \kappa^2/3)}{(q + \kappa)^2 + 1} \right]^{2/3} \left[ (q - \kappa)^2 + 1 \right]^{1/3} \left( 1 - e^{-2\pi\kappa} \right) d\omega d\kappa,
\]

where $k$ and $k'$ are the wave vectors of the incident electron before and after the collision, respectively, and $q = k - k'$. All wave vectors are normalized to the inverse Bohr radius $a_0 = (4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar^2/m^2)$, and the energies are normalized to the Rydberg energy $\text{Ry} = m^4/(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2 = 13.6$ eV, so that $E = k^2$. Since $q^2 = k^2 + k'^2 - 2kk' \cos \theta$, for given $k$ and $k'$ we have $q dq = k k' \sin \theta d\theta d\omega/(2\pi)$, where $d\omega$ is an element of solid angle about the direction of the scattered electron. In an ionizing collision, the energy transfer should be equal to the sum of the threshold for ionization and the energy of the secondary electron: $k^2 - k'^2 = 1 + \kappa^2$. The minimum and maximum $q$ are $q_{\text{min}} = k - k'$ and $q_{\text{max}} = k + k'$, and for large incident energies $q_{\text{min}} = (1 + \kappa^2)/(2k)$ and $q_{\text{max}} = 2k$, to a first approximation. Numerical integration with $d\omega = 2\pi\sin\theta d\theta/(kk')$ gives the differential cross section $d\sigma/d\kappa$:

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\kappa} = \frac{1}{k^2(1 - e^{-2\pi\kappa})} \times \int_{(1+\kappa^2/3)}^{2k} \frac{q^2 + (1 + \kappa^2/3)}{[(q + \kappa)^2 + 1]^{3/2}} \left[ (q - \kappa)^2 + 1 \right]^{1/3} \left( 1 - e^{-2\pi\kappa} \right) dq.
\]

The distribution of secondary electrons is given by

\[
S_0(\kappa, k_T) = C \int_{\kappa+1}^{\infty} e^{-k^2r^2/4} \frac{d\sigma}{d\kappa} dk,
\]

where $k_T^2 = E_T$ is the energy of the thermal electrons normalized to the Rydberg energy. Figure 2 shows $S_0/C$ as function of $\kappa$ for different normalized energies $E_T$, and shows that most of the emitted electrons have energies comparable to the ionization energy.