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It is foreseen to operate the neutral beam system of the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) for pulse lengths extending up to 1 h. The performance of the KAMABOKO III negative ion source, which is a model of the source designed for ITER, is being studied on the MANTIS test bed at Cadarache. This article reports the latest results from the characterization of the ion source, in particular electron energy distribution measurements and the comparison between positive ion and negative ion extraction from the source. © 2006 American Institute of Physics.
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I. BACKGROUND

The Kamaboko III negative ion source, which was designed and built by JAERI, Naka, Japan, is a model (≈25% in linear dimensions) of the reference design ion source for the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) neutral beam injectors. The ion source is a magnetic multi-pole, arc discharge, source with 12 tungsten filaments. Cesium is added to the source to enhance the extracted negative ion current density. The source is attached to a three grid accelerator, plasma, extraction and acceleration grids) which can produce beams of ≈30 keV. A target located at >1.2 m from the ion source is used to measure the accelerated current calorimetrically. Although the source has achieved the design parameters during short pulses (<5 s), during long pulses (>50 s) the calorimetrically measured negative ion current density is, at the appropriate arc power, <60% of that required for ITER. Consequently, a detailed characterization of the source has been undertaken.

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We have previously reported the variations in negative ion current, plasma density, plasma electron temperature and fractional dissociation with the arc current and anode to cathode voltage. Here we report on the electron energy distribution and the extraction of negative and positive ions from the ion source.

A. Electron energy distribution measurements

The standard analysis of the Langmuir probe characteristic assumes an isotropic Maxwellian electron energy distribution. To verify (or show otherwise) that this is the case in the Kamaboko III source, the electron energy distribution (EEDF) was measured using the Boyd–Twiddy technique with a 0.9-mm-diam spherical probe. The spherical probe is not sensitive to the isotropy of the electron distribution, unlike cylindrical probes. Figure 1 shows the EEDF measured for an H2 discharge with an arc power of ≈45 kW. There are fewer low energy electrons than would be the case for a Maxwellian EEDF and a clear (but unexplained) peak is seen at ≈17.5 eV. A “Laframboise” analysis of data from a cylindrical probe, 0.05 mm diameter, 5 mm long, in a similar discharge gave an electron density and “temperature” ≈30% lower than these EEDF data.

III. NEGATIVE ION BEAMS

During long pulse operation the current arriving at the target is typically ≈60% of the current taken from the high voltage power supply. The “lost” current is too large to be explained by experimental error. It has been demonstrated that the lost current is not due to accelerated electrons. It is hypothesized that part of the accelerated ion beam is so divergent that <60% of the beam is intercepted by the target. A diagnostic drift duct is now installed on MANTIS, see Fig. 2, which is designed to determine if this is correct. Figure 3 shows the geometry of the three grid, 30 keV accelerator used.

The diagnostic duct, which butts against the exit of the accelerator, is 1.2 m long, square in cross section, 0.25 × 0.25 m², and closed at the end by an uncooled, thermally insulated, 10-mm-thick copper target. The acceleration grid support is ≈0.2 m long, so that the source to target distance is ≈1.4 m. Each wall of the duct is made of six rectangular copper plates, each 0.2 × 0.25 m² and 5 mm thick. These...
plates are mounted on, but thermally insulated from, a stain-
less steel support frame. Two thermocouples are connected to
each plate and three to the target. Slots 5 mm wide between
each group of panels plus the ≈20 mm gaps between the
accelerator exit and the duct and the target and the duct allow
pumping of the gas leaving the accelerator. Additionally, two
copper half cylinders equipped with thermocouples line the
walls of the acceleration grid support, from which they are
thermally insulated. Calculations have shown that any accel-
cerated electrons are deflected by the long range field of
the magnetic filter (located in front of the PG in the ion source)
on the acceleration grid or one of these half cylinders. All
the power from the ion beam must fall on the acceleration
grid, the duct panels or the target.

It is found that:

- the power accountability, defined as the power to the
duct plus that to the target divided by the power taken
from the acceleration power supply, is ≈90%;
- the power to the half cylinders (accelerated electron
power exiting the accelerator) is ≈1%;
- the power to the acceleration grid is <5% of the
accelerated power; and
- >30% of the accelerated power falls on the walls of
the duct, i.e., there is a very divergent “halo”
carrying >30% of the accelerated power. This is not
acceptable for the ITER beam source.

IV. POSITIVE ION BEAMS

In order to establish whether or not the halo is a charac-
teristic of negative ion beams, it was decided to measure the
characteristics of positive ion beams extracted from the same
ion source and accelerated by the same accelerator. (For
these positive ion studies it was decided not to cesiate the
source.)

At the same time as these experiments were started a
modern infrared camera became available for these experi-
ments. The camera was set up to view, through a sapphire
window, the rear of the beam target (the side not hit by the
beam). Some of the target is partly hidden from the IR cam-
era, so the camera is able to give information about the beam
optics, but only an estimate of the power incident on the
target.

To obtain a measure of the beam divergence the differ-
ence between two profiles is obtained, the profile just before
the beam pulse and the profile 10 s later. Lateral diffusion
during 10 s is significant, therefore simulations which take
account of this thermal diffusion have been performed for
beams with various values of the beamlet divergence, and
these are used to deduce the actual beamlet divergence from
the measured profiles.

A problem with accelerating positive ions with this ac-
celerator is that there is no “decel” grid to prevent the ex-
traction of electrons from the plasma created in the drift duct
as there is in a conventional positive ion accelerators. It has
been shown by calculations that all the electrons extracted
from the drift duct would be deflected onto the extraction
grid by the magnetic fields in the acceleration gap. Thus the
current measured on the extraction grid, $I_{E2}$, is the sum of the
extracted positive ions actually hitting the extraction grid,
$I^+_{int}$, and the backstreaming electron current, $I_e$. The power to
the extraction grid, $P_{E2}$ is equal to the sum of the power from
the positive ions hitting the extraction grid, and the
power from the backstreaming electrons, i.e.

\[
I_{E2} = I^+_{int} - I_e, \tag{1}
\]

\[
P_{E2} = I^+_{int} V_{E2} + I_e V_{G3} - V_{G2}. \tag{2}
\]

As both the current and power to the extraction grid are
measured, Eqs. (1) and (2) can be solved to give $I^+_{int}$ and $I_e$,
and allow the accelerated current, $I_{acc}$, to be calculated:

\[
I_{acc} = I_{drain} - I_e, \quad \text{where} \quad I_{drain} \quad \text{is the current from the acceleration supply.}
\]

This analysis assumes that there are no secondary
electrons accelerated from the extraction grid to the PG.

It is found that:

• the back streaming electron current is typically 35% of $I_{\text{acc}}$;
• <5% of the extracted positive ion current hits the extraction grid;
• the total current on the drift duct plus the target derived from the calorimetry is typically >90% of $I_{\text{acc}}$;
• no power from backstreaming electrons is detected on the rear of the ion source; and
• Up to 90% of the accelerated current falls on the target.

Thus it seems that the 30% halo discussed above is a feature of the negative ion beam.

A. Positive ion beam optics

Figure 4 shows the deduced beamlet divergence as a function of the perveance for different values of the extraction voltage. Figure 5 shows the divergence calculated by SLACCAD for two of the values of extraction voltage. It can be seen that the measured optimum perveance is in reasonable agreement with the calculated optimum, but the measured divergence is much higher than calculated. This is explained in part because SLACCAD assumes a perfectly uniform ion flux to the plasma grid apertures, and assigns no temperature to the ions.

V. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE ION EXTRACTION

It has been widely reported that the extracted negative ion current from a negative ion source varies with the extraction voltage.5,6 “Normal” positive ion sources, as used for neutral beam injection, show no change in extracted ion current with the extraction voltage. The main difference between these and negative ion sources is that the former have either no magnetic filter or a rather weak filter (<70 G cm), whereas negative ion sources have a strong filter (900 G cm in the Kamaboko III source). Figure 6 shows the positive and negative ion current extracted from the Kamaboko III source as a function of the extraction voltage ($V_{\text{ext}}$). Both depend strongly, in an offset quasi-linear fashion, on $V_{\text{ext}}$. Neither exhibit a $V_{\text{ext}}^{1.5}$ dependence nor saturation at high values of extraction voltage, as has been found previously.5,6

VI. SUMMARY

The characterization of the Kamaboko III negative ion source has been extended to the measurement of the EEDF 17 mm in front of the plasma grid with a spherical probe, and detailed calorimetry of the accelerated beam. Also a start has been made in comparing positive ion and negative ion extraction from the source using the same extraction system. The EEDF measurements show that the standard type of analysis of Langmuir probe characteristics leads to an underestimation of both the electron density and the electron temperature. The positive ion acceleration shows that the SLACCAD code correctly predicts the optimum perveance, but...
underestimates substantially the beamlet divergence. The beam calorimetry reveals a large halo in the accelerated negative ion beams, which carries ≈35% of the accelerated beam power. Such a halo is not found with positive ion beams, where >90% of the accelerated beam impinges on the target. Unlike conventional positive ion sources, the extracted positive ion current varies with the applied extraction voltage, as does the extracted negative ion current. This is most likely due to the strong magnetic filter in the ion source.